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## Women in the Workplace

Ed. note: The entry of large numbers of women into the paid workforce has been one of the dominant social trends in Canada over the last half century. That is one of the key findings in Women in Canada 2000, the latest edition of Statistics Canada's seminal series of reports on gender trends in Canada, which was released in October, 2000. The following report attempts to complement the Women in Canada series, which is only published every five years, by providing updated information on women's participation in the labour market. It should be noted, however, that only indicators for which current updated figures are available have been included in this report. As such, the reader is encouraged to refer to the full Women in Canada 2000 document for the latest data on other key topics such as unpaid household work, volunteer participation, and time stress.

This report is an adaption of the section in Women in Canada 2000 entitled "Paid and Unpaid Work" by Nancy Zukewich. The data in this report were compiled by Marcia Almey. Questions or comments should be addressed to Colin Lindsay by calling 613-951-2603 or by email at lindcol@statcan.ca.

## More women employed

The past several decades have witnessed dramatic growth in the share of women who are part of the paid workforce. In 2000, $56 \%$ of all women aged 15 and over had jobs, up from $42 \%$ in 1976 . In contrast, the proportion of men who were employed in 2000 (68\%) was well below the figure recorded in 1976 (73\%). As a result of these trends, women accounted for 46\% the employed workforce in 2000, up from 37\% in 1976. (Table 1)

Most of the growth in the female employment in the past quarter century, however, took place during the late 1970s and 1980s. Between 1976 and 1990, for example, the proportion of adult women participating in the paid labour force rose from $42 \%$ to $54 \%$. In contrast, the female employment rate dipped to $52 \%$ during the recession in the early part of the 1990s and hovered around that level for much of the rest of the decade. The share of women with jobs, though, has begun to rise again in recent years, increasing to $56 \%$ in 2000 . The employment rate among men has also risen in the past several years after almost two decades of decline, although rates of growth in male employment remain somewhat below those of their female counterparts.

## Employment levels vary across the country

Women in Ontario and the Western provinces are more likely than those in Quebec and most of the Atlantic provinces to be employed. In 2000, $62 \%$ of women in Alberta worked at a job or business, as did $58 \%$ of those in Manitoba and Ontario, 57\% in Saskatchewan and 55\% in British Columbia. In contrast, only about half of all women in each of Quebec ( $51 \%$ ), New Brunswick (51\%) and Nova Scotia (52\%) were employed that year, while the figure was just $43 \%$ in Newfoundland. The exception to this pattern was Prince Edward Island, where $56 \%$ of women were employed in 2000. (Table 2)

In all provinces, however, women are considerably less likely than their male counterparts to be part of the paid workforce. Indeed, in 2000, the difference between the proportions of women and men with jobs was as high as 13 percentage points in each of Quebec, Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta and 12 percentage
points in Ontario. There were also gaps of 10 percentage points in British Columbia, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick and 7 in Newfoundland and Prince Edward Island.

## Employment increases with higher education

Not surprisingly, the likelihood of women being employed increases dramatically the higher their level of educational attainment. In 2000, $76 \%$ of women with a university degree and $68 \%$ of those with a certificate or diploma from a community college worked for pay or profit, compared with $60 \%$ of those with some postsecondary training and 59\% of high school graduates. At the same time, only $38 \%$ of women who had attended, but had not completed high school, and just $14 \%$ of those who had not gone beyond Grade 8 , had jobs that year. (Table 3)

Regardless of their level of educational attainment, however, women are still less likely than their male counterparts to be employed, although the gaps are smallest among better-educated women. Among those with a university degree, for example, $76 \%$ of women, versus $80 \%$ of men, had jobs in 2000. Similarly, among those with a non-university certificate or diploma, $68 \%$ of women, compared with $78 \%$ of men, were employed. In contrast, women with less than a Grade 9 education were only about half as likely to be employed that year as their male counterparts: $14 \%$ versus $31 \%$. Part of these differences are explained by variation in the education and work experiences of different age groups. At the same time, though, these patterns generally hold among all age groups over the age of 25 .

## Ages 25-54 prime working years for women

Women between the ages of 25 and 54 currently are more likely to be part of the paid workforce than women in other age ranges. In $2000,75 \%$ of women aged $25-44$ and $72 \%$ of those aged $45-54$ had jobs, compared with $56 \%$ of women aged $15-24$ and $39 \%$ of those aged $54-65$. This contrasts with trends in the late 1970s, when women aged 15-24 were slightly more likely than their older counterparts to be employed. In the intervening years, however, it has become more common for married women and women with children to participate in the labour force, while the employment rate of women aged 15-24 has changed little. (Table 4)

Women between the ages of 25 and 54, however, are still considerably less likely than their male counterparts to be employed. For example, in 2000, $75 \%$ of $25-44$-year-old women had jobs, compared with $87 \%$ of men in this age group. Similarly, $72 \%$ of women aged 45-54 were employed that year, compared with $84 \%$ of their male counterparts. These gaps, however, have closed significantly since the late 1970s, when women in these age ranges were only about half as likely as their male counterparts to be employed.

The gap between the employment levels of women and men aged 55-64 has also narrowed over the past quarter century. This is due to modest increases in employment rates among women, accompanied by a sharp decline in employment levels among men which may reflect, in part, the trend for men to retire at earlier ages. Women aged 55-64, though, were still considerably less likely than men in this age range to be employed in 2000: 39\% versus 58\%.

In contrast to older age groups, employment rates are similar for women and men aged 15-24. In 2000, just over $55 \%$ of both women and men in this age range were working for pay or profit. This also represents a
change from 1976, when young women were somewhat less likely than their male counterparts to be employed: $52 \%$ versus $60 \%$.

## Dramatic increases in employment among women with children

There has been particularly sharp growth in the employment rate of women with children in the past quarter century. In 2000, $70 \%$ of all women with children less than age 16 living at home were part of the employed workforce, up from $39 \%$ in 1976. Women with children, though, are still less likely to be employed than women without children. That year, $76 \%$ of women under age 55 without children had jobs. (Table 5)

There have been especially dramatic increases in the employment levels of women with very young children. Indeed, by $2000,61 \%$ of women with children less than age 3 were employed, more than double the figure in 1976 when only $28 \%$ of these women were employed outside their homes. Similarly, $68 \%$ of women whose youngest child was aged 3-5 worked for pay or profit in 2000, up from 37\% in 1976.

Women with pre-school-aged children, though, are still less likely than those with school-aged children to be employed. Overall, in 2000, $64 \%$ of women with children under age 6 were employed, compared with $75 \%$ of those whose youngest child was aged 6-15.

## Female lone parents less likely to be employed

Female lone parents are less likely than mothers in two-parent families to be employed. In 2000, 63\% of female lone parents with children less than age 16 living at home were employed, compared with $71 \%$ of their counterparts in two-parent families. This represents a major shift from the late 1970s when female lone parents were more likely to employed than mothers with partners. (Table 6)

In the intervening years, however, the employment rate of mothers in two-parent families grew steadily, surpassing that of female lone parents in the mid-1980s. However, in recent years, the proportion of employed lone mothers has increased substantially, jumping 10 percentage points between 1995 and 2000.

The presence of young children also has a greater impact on the employment of lone mothers than it does their counterparts with partners. In 2000, just $42 \%$ of lone mothers with children under age 3 were employed, compared with $63 \%$ of mothers in two-parent families. At the same time, among those whose youngest child was aged $3-5,56 \%$ of female lone parents, compared with $70 \%$ of mothers in two-parent families, were part of the paid workforce.

## Many women work part-time

A relatively large proportion of employed women work part-time. In 2000, almost 2 million employed women, $27 \%$ of all women in the paid workforce, worked less than 30 hours per week at their main job, compared with just $10 \%$ of employed men. In fact, women have accounted for about seven in 10 of all part-time employees since the late 1970s. (Table 7)

Young women are more likely than other women to work part-time. In 2000, over half (51\%) of employed women aged 15-24 worked part-time, compared with just over $20 \%$ of those between the ages of 25 and 54 and $30 \%$ of those aged $55-64$. Women in all age groups, however, are far more likely than their male counterparts to work part-time. This is especially the case among those in age ranges over age 25. That year, for example, $21 \%$ of women aged $25-44$ and $22 \%$ of those aged $45-54$ age worked part-time, versus only $4 \%$ of men in each of these groups. (Table 8)

Most women who work part-time do so either because they do not want full-time employment or because parttime work is more appropriate for their personal situation. In 2000, $27 \%$ of women employed part-time reported they did not want full-time work and $24 \%$ indicated they were going to school. (Table 9)

Many women, however, work part-time because of childcare or other responsibilities. In 2000, over one in five female part-time employees said they worked part-time because of personal or family responsibilities. That year, $15 \%$ said they did not work full-time because they were caring for children, while 6\% reported other family or personal responsibilities as the reason they worked part-time. In sharp contrast, a total only $2 \%$ of male part-time workers cited these reasons as why they did not work full time.

At the same time, a substantial number of women work part-time because they cannot find full-time employment. In 2000, 24 \% of all female part-time employees indicated that they wanted full-time employment, but could only find part-time work. Women, though, were slightly less likely than men to work part-time involuntarily; that year, $28 \%$ of male part-time employees wanted full-time work.

The reasons women work part-time also vary considerably by age. Women aged 25-44, for example, were more likely than other women to work part-time in 2000 because of personal or family responsibilities or because they could not find full-time work. In contrast, women aged 15-24 were the most likely to work parttime because they were going to school, while those aged 45 and over were the most likely not to want fulltime employment.

## Increasing numbers of women self-employed

A growing number of women are self-employed. In 2000, close to 1 million women, $12 \%$ of all those with jobs, were self-employed, up from $9 \%$ in 1976. In fact, self-employment has grown faster among women than it has among men in the past quarter century, though women are still less likely than men be self-employed: 12\% versus $19 \%$ in 2000. Overall, women accounted for $35 \%$ of all self-employed workers that year, up from $31 \%$ in 1990 and $26 \%$ in 1976. (Table 10)

## Still concentrated in traditional female occupations

The majority of employed women continue to work in occupations in which women have traditionally been concentrated. In 2000, 69\% of all employed women were working in one of teaching, nursing and related health occupations, clerical or other administrative positions or sales and service occupations. This compared with just $30 \%$ of employed men. (Table 11)

The proportion of women employed in traditionally female-dominated occupations, however, has slowly declined over the past decade. In 2000, $69 \%$ of employed women were working in one of these areas, down from 74\% in 1987.

Most of the drop in the proportion of employed women working in traditionally female-dominated jobs since the late 1980s has been accounted for by declines in the share employed in clerical and related administrative jobs. In 2000, $25 \%$ of all employed women had these types of jobs, compared with $30 \%$ in 1987. In contrast, there has been little change in the shares of women working in either teaching, nursing and related occupations or sales and service jobs in the same period.

As well, women continue to account for large shares of total employment in each of these occupational groups. In 2000, $88 \%$ of nurses and health-related therapists, $75 \%$ of clerks and other administrators, $63 \%$ of teachers and $58 \%$ of sales and service personnel were women.

At the same time, though, women have increased their representation in several professional fields in recent years. For example, women made up $49 \%$ of business and financial professionals in 2000, up from $41 \%$ in 1987. There has also been substantial growth in the number of women employed in diagnostic and treating positions in medicine and related health professions. In fact, women made up more than half (53\%) of all doctors and dentists in 2000, up from $44 \%$ in 1987. Similarly, $60 \%$ of professionals employed in social sciences or religion in 2000 were women, compared with $48 \%$ in 1987.

Women have also increased their share of total employment in managerial positions. In 2000, 35\% of all those employed in managerial positions were women, up from 29\% in 1987. Among managers, however, women tend to be better represented among lower-level managers, as opposed to those at more senior levels. In 2000 , women made up only $21 \%$ of senior managers, compared with $36 \%$ of managers at other levels.

Women also continue to remain very much a minority among professionals employed in the natural sciences, engineering, and mathematics. In 2000, just $20 \%$ of professionals in these occupations were women, a figure up only marginally from 1987 when women held $17 \%$ of these positions. In addition, it is unlikely that female representation in these occupations will increase in the near future, because women continue to account for relatively small shares of total university enrolments in these fields.

There are also relatively few women employed in most goods-producing occupations in which few women have traditionally worked. In 2000, $30 \%$ of workers in manufacturing were women, as were $20 \%$ of those in primary industries and just $6 \%$ of those in transportation, trades, and construction work. The representation of women in the last category, however, has grown somewhat since the late 1980s, while that in manufacturing and primary industries was about the same in 2000 as it was in 1987.

## Unemployment lower among women

Unemployment rates are currently slightly lower among women than men. In 2000, 490,000 women, 6.7\% of all female labour force participants, were unemployed, compared with $6.9 \%$ of male labour force participants. In fact, the unemployment rate has been lower among women than men throughout the 1990s, whereas the reverse was the case for much of the period from 1976 to 1989. (Table 12)

Young women are considerably more likely than other women to be unemployed. In 2000, 11.3\% of female labour force participants aged 15-24 were unemployed, compared with just $6.0 \%$ of those aged $25-44$ and $5.3 \%$ of those aged 45-64. (Table 13)

Young women, however, are still considerably less likely than their male counterparts to be unemployed. While $11.3 \%$ of female labour force participants aged $15-24$ were unemployed in 2000, the figure was $13.9 \%$ among males in this age group. In contrast, women aged 25-44 and 45-64 were both about as likely to be unemployed as men in these age ranges.

Women in the Atlantic Provinces and Quebec have higher unemployment rates than those in Ontario and the Western Provinces. In 2000, 15.4\% of female labour force participants in Newfoundland were unemployed, while the figure was $10.9 \%$ in Prince Edward Island, $8.9 \%$ in New Brunswick, $8.2 \%$ in Nova Scotia and $8.1 \%$ in Quebec. In contrast, 7\% of female labour force participants in British Columbia, 6\% of those in Ontario and just 5\% of those in each of the Prairie Provinces were unemployed that year. (Table 14)

Women are also less likely than their male counterparts to be unemployed in most provinces. The exceptions in 2000 were Ontario, where women were slightly more likely to unemployed than men, and Alberta, where the unemployment rates for women and men were the same.

The most frequent reason given by unemployed women for leaving their last job was that they either lost their job or were laid off. In 2000, 39\% of unemployed women fell into one of these categories. At the same time, $25 \%$ were labour force re-entrants who had not worked for pay or profit in the last year, while $13 \%$ were new job-market entrants who had never been employed. Another 5\% of unemployed women had left their last job because they were going to school, $3 \%$ had left because of personal or family responsibilities, and $2 \%$ had left because of personal illness. (Table 15)

Unemployed women, though, are less likely than unemployed men to have lost, or been laid off from, their last job. In 2000, 39\% of unemployed women, versus $50 \%$ of unemployed men, had lost their job or been laid off. On the other hand, unemployed women were more likely than men to have been either new job-market entrants who had never worked for pay or profit or labour force re-entrants who had not been employed in the previous year. Unemployed women were also more likely than their male counterparts to have left their last job because of personal or family responsibilities: $3 \%$ versus $1 \%$.

Table 1
Employment, 1976-2000

|  | Women aged 15 and over |  | Men aged 15 and over |  | Women as a \% of total employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total employed 000s | \% of all women employed | Total employed | \% of all men employed |  |
| 1976 | 3,630.7 | 42.0 | 6,145.5 | 72.7 | 37.1 |
| 1977 | 3,716.3 | 42.1 | 6,198.4 | 72.0 | 37.4 |
| 1978 | 3,891.7 | 43.2 | 6,320.5 | 72.0 | 38.1 |
| 1979 | 4,131.3 | 45.0 | 6,526.4 | 73.0 | 38.8 |
| 1980 | 4,339.3 | 46.3 | 6,630.9 | 72.8 | 39.6 |
| 1981 | 4,546.9 | 47.6 | 6,749.9 | 72.8 | 40.2 |
| 1982 | 4,510.9 | 46.5 | 6,436.2 | 68.4 | 41.2 |
| 1983 | 4,606.6 | 46.9 | 6,420.5 | 67.4 | 41.8 |
| 1984 | 4,746.7 | 47.7 | 6,553.4 | 68.0 | 42.0 |
| 1985 | 4,927.4 | 48.8 | 6,689.9 | 68.6 | 42.4 |
| 1986 | 5,118.9 | 50.1 | 6,860.1 | 69.5 | 42.7 |
| 1987 | 5,299.3 | 51.2 | 7,021.3 | 70.3 | 43.0 |
| 1988 | 5,532.1 | 52.7 | 7,178.2 | 70.9 | 43.5 |
| 1989 | 5,699.1 | 53.5 | 7,287.3 | 71.0 | 43.9 |
| 1990 | 5,806.2 | 53.7 | 7,277.8 | 69.9 | 44.4 |
| 1991 | 5,790.6 | 52.7 | 7,060.0 | 66.8 | 45.1 |
| 1992 | 5,789.6 | 52.0 | 6,970.4 | 65.0 | 45.4 |
| 1993 | 5,827.5 | 51.6 | 7,029.9 | 64.6 | 45.3 |
| 1994 | 5,934.0 | 51.9 | 7,177.0 | 65.2 | 45.3 |
| 1995 | 6,058.4 | 52.3 | 7,298.5 | 65.5 | 45.4 |
| 1996 | 6,116.6 | 52.1 | 7,346.0 | 65.0 | 45.4 |
| 1997 | 6,266.2 | 52.7 | 7,508.3 | 65.5 | 45.4 |
| 1998 | 6,479.0 | 53.8 | 7,661.4 | 65.9 | 45.8 |
| 1999 | 6,665.3 | 54.6 | 7,865.8 | 66.8 | 45.9 |
| 2000 | 6,860.4 | 55.5 | 8,049.3 | 67.5 | 46.0 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 2
Percentage of the population aged 15 and over employed, by province, 1976-2000

|  | 1976 |  | 1985 |  | 1990 |  | 1995 |  | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 27.9 | 57.6 | 34.4 | 51.9 | 41.0 | 53.4 | 39.2 | 47.8 | 42.9 | 50.2 |
| Prince Edward Island | 38.5 | 67.1 | 44.4 | 62.7 | 49.2 | 62.8 | 50.4 | 60.6 | 55.5 | 62.5 |
| Nova Scotia | 35.9 | 65.7 | 41.7 | 61.2 | 47.9 | 63.5 | 46.1 | 59.1 | 51.5 | 61.1 |
| New Brunswick | 34.1 | 62.2 | 39.8 | 56.9 | 45.9 | 59.8 | 47.6 | 58.1 | 50.8 | 60.3 |
| Quebec | 37.4 | 70.4 | 44.1 | 65.9 | 48.6 | 67.0 | 48.0 | 62.3 | 51.4 | 64.7 |
| Ontario | 46.0 | 75.3 | 53.1 | 72.6 | 57.6 | 73.2 | 53.7 | 66.4 | 57.5 | 69.3 |
| Manitoba | 44.3 | 73.9 | 50.9 | 70.2 | 54.9 | 70.4 | 55.5 | 68.8 | 58.4 | 71.0 |
| Saskatchewan | 41.2 | 75.0 | 49.7 | 71.2 | 53.7 | 70.3 | 54.7 | 69.0 | 57.2 | 70.0 |
| Alberta | 49.2 | 80.1 | 56.0 | 74.5 | 59.8 | 75.4 | 60.2 | 73.1 | 61.9 | 75.2 |
| British Columbia | 41.7 | 71.3 | 46.7 | 64.0 | 53.6 | 68.6 | 54.4 | 67.2 | 55.2 | 65.4 |
| Canada | 42.0 | 72.7 | 48.8 | 68.6 | 53.7 | 69.9 | 52.3 | 65.5 | 55.5 | 67.5 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 3
Percentage employed, by age and educational attainment, 2000

|  | People aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45 and over |  | Total |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Educational attainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than Grade 9 | 19.1 | 29.7 | 39.7 | 62.9 | 10.9 | 25.2 | 14.3 | 30.6 |
| Some secondary school | 40.7 | 43.2 | 57.1 | 76.6 | 26.3 | 46.3 | 37.6 | 53.4 |
| High school graduate | 65.4 | 72.0 | 73.3 | 87.5 | 44.5 | 59.2 | 59.0 | 74.0 |
| Some postsecondary | 58.8 | 58.5 | 71.6 | 82.6 | 47.7 | 59.0 | 60.2 | 67.3 |
| Postsecondary certificate or diploma ${ }^{1}$ | 75.5 | 74.9 | 80.3 | 90.2 | 51.2 | 64.0 | 68.0 | 78.2 |
| University degree | 74.3 | 74.0 | 82.9 | 90.8 | 65.6 | 69.4 | 76.3 | 80.3 |
| Total | 55.8 | 56.7 | 75.2 | 86.5 | 38.7 | 54.0 | 55.5 | 67.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes trades certificate.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 4
Percentage employed, by age, 1976-2000

|  | People aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 51.6 | 60.0 | 49.9 | 90.9 | 45.6 | 88.9 | 30.4 | 72.8 |
| 1977 | 51.3 | 59.7 | 51.0 | 90.1 | 45.3 | 88.1 | 29.7 | 71.4 |
| 1978 | 52.1 | 60.3 | 53.4 | 90.0 | 46.4 | 88.2 | 29.4 | 71.1 |
| 1979 | 54.5 | 62.8 | 55.6 | 90.7 | 48.1 | 88.4 | 31.5 | 71.4 |
| 1980 | 56.0 | 63.0 | 57.8 | 90.1 | 49.7 | 88.2 | 31.0 | 71.3 |
| 1981 | 57.0 | 63.3 | 60.2 | 90.1 | 51.7 | 88.5 | 31.0 | 70.7 |
| 1982 | 53.8 | 56.0 | 59.7 | 85.5 | 51.5 | 85.3 | 30.8 | 67.2 |
| 1983 | 54.0 | 55.1 | 60.5 | 84.0 | 52.8 | 85.0 | 30.1 | 65.4 |
| 1984 | 55.0 | 57.3 | 62.0 | 84.5 | 53.2 | 84.1 | 29.9 | 64.4 |
| 1985 | 56.0 | 58.4 | 63.5 | 85.5 | 56.2 | 84.6 | 30.6 | 63.1 |
| 1986 | 57.9 | 60.4 | 66.2 | 86.2 | 55.8 | 85.9 | 30.3 | 62.6 |
| 1987 | 59.4 | 62.4 | 67.4 | 87.0 | 58.4 | 86.9 | 31.4 | 61.4 |
| 1988 | 60.9 | 63.8 | 69.3 | 87.8 | 61.3 | 86.8 | 32.3 | 61.4 |
| 1989 | 61.8 | 64.5 | 70.4 | 87.8 | 63.3 | 87.1 | 31.7 | 61.1 |
| 1990 | 59.9 | 62.3 | 71.4 | 86.6 | 63.9 | 85.8 | 33.0 | 60.3 |
| 1991 | 57.7 | 56.9 | 70.4 | 83.5 | 64.3 | 84.2 | 32.4 | 57.1 |
| 1992 | 55.4 | 54.5 | 69.4 | 81.3 | 65.0 | 82.6 | 32.6 | 55.4 |
| 1993 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 69.1 | 81.6 | 65.3 | 82.0 | 32.4 | 54.3 |
| 1994 | 53.5 | 54.1 | 69.6 | 82.4 | 65.7 | 82.6 | 33.9 | 54.0 |
| 1995 | 53.5 | 54.2 | 70.5 | 83.1 | 66.8 | 83.1 | 33.4 | 53.7 |
| 1996 | 52.2 | 53.2 | 70.9 | 82.9 | 66.2 | 82.4 | 33.6 | 53.7 |
| 1997 | 50.3 | 52.7 | 72.2 | 84.0 | 68.1 | 82.7 | 34.3 | 55.1 |
| 1998 | 52.1 | 52.9 | 73.3 | 85.1 | 69.8 | 82.8 | 36.1 | 54.7 |
| 1999 | 53.9 | 55.4 | 74.3 | 85.7 | 70.8 | 83.8 | 37.3 | 56.9 |
| 2000 | 55.8 | 56.7 | 75.2 | 86.5 | 71.5 | 84.4 | 39.3 | 57.7 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 5
Percentage of women with children employed, by age of youngest child, 1976-2000

|  | Youngest child under age 3 | Youngest child aged 3-5 | Total with youngest child under age 6 | Youngest child aged 6-15 | Total with children under age 16 | Total under age 55 without children under age 16 living at home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 27.7 | 36.9 | 31.5 | 46.5 | 39.2 | 60.9 |
| 1977 | 29.4 | 37.8 | 32.7 | 47.4 | 40.3 | 60.7 |
| 1978 | 32.1 | 40.7 | 35.5 | 49.2 | 42.7 | 61.7 |
| 1979 | 34.8 | 43.0 | 37.9 | 50.9 | 44.7 | 63.7 |
| 1980 | 37.1 | 45.3 | 40.2 | 53.5 | 47.1 | 64.7 |
| 1981 | 39.6 | 46.7 | 42.3 | 56.2 | 49.4 | 65.5 |
| 1982 | 39.7 | 46.6 | 42.3 | 55.4 | 48.9 | 64.6 |
| 1983 | 42.4 | 48.0 | 44.6 | 55.1 | 49.9 | 65.5 |
| 1984 | 44.4 | 49.2 | 46.2 | 57.0 | 51.7 | 65.9 |
| 1985 | 46.9 | 52.1 | 48.9 | 59.0 | 54.0 | 67.3 |
| 1986 | 49.7 | 54.5 | 51.6 | 61.8 | 56.8 | 68.8 |
| 1987 | 50.6 | 56.4 | 52.9 | 63.8 | 58.4 | 69.6 |
| 1988 | 52.3 | 58.5 | 54.7 | 66.6 | 60.7 | 71.6 |
| 1989 | 53.2 | 59.4 | 55.7 | 69.2 | 62.5 | 72.6 |
| 1990 | 53.8 | 59.8 | 56.1 | 70.2 | 63.2 | 73.3 |
| 1991 | 54.8 | 60.3 | 56.9 | 69.1 | 63.0 | 72.4 |
| 1992 | 54.5 | 59.7 | 56.5 | 68.2 | 62.4 | 71.5 |
| 1993 | 55.1 | 59.8 | 56.9 | 68.7 | 62.8 | 71.6 |
| 1994 | 56.2 | 59.4 | 57.5 | 68.7 | 63.1 | 72.0 |
| 1995 | 56.7 | 60.7 | 58.3 | 70.0 | 64.2 | 72.9 |
| 1996 | 58.4 | 60.8 | 59.4 | 70.0 | 64.8 | 72.3 |
| 1997 | 59.2 | 62.4 | 60.6 | 71.3 | 66.2 | 73.4 |
| 1998 | 59.9 | 64.2 | 61.7 | 72.3 | 67.3 | 74.8 |
| 1999 | 60.7 | 66.3 | 63.1 | 73.6 | 68.7 | 76.0 |
| 2000 | 60.9 | 67.8 | 63.8 | 74.5 | 69.5 | 76.3 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 6
Employment of women with children, by family status and age of youngest child, 1976-2000

|  | Female lone parents |  |  |  | Women with partners |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Youngest child under age 3 | Youngest child aged 3-5 | Youngest child aged 6-15 | Total with children under age 16 | Youngest child under age 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Youngest } \\ \text { child } \\ \text { aged 3-5 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Youngest } \\ \text { child } \\ \text { aged 6-15 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total with children under age 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 27.9 | 45.0 | 53.9 | 48.3 | 27.7 | 36.2 | 45.7 | 38.4 |
| 1977 | 31.2 | 46.9 | 54.9 | 49.8 | 29.3 | 37.0 | 46.4 | 39.4 |
| 1978 | 30.6 | 42.8 | 55.2 | 49.1 | 32.2 | 40.4 | 48.5 | 42.1 |
| 1979 | 34.6 | 45.8 | 55.6 | 50.6 | 34.8 | 42.8 | 50.3 | 44.1 |
| 1980 | 36.3 | 50.6 | 59.1 | 53.8 | 37.1 | 44.7 | 52.7 | 46.4 |
| 1981 | 32.3 | 51.4 | 61.3 | 54.4 | 40.0 | 46.2 | 55.4 | 48.9 |
| 1982 | 31.7 | 48.2 | 57.2 | 51.1 | 40.1 | 46.4 | 55.1 | 48.7 |
| 1983 | 30.8 | 44.2 | 55.1 | 48.8 | 43.1 | 48.5 | 55.1 | 50.0 |
| 1984 | 30.2 | 44.8 | 57.6 | 50.5 | 45.3 | 49.7 | 56.9 | 51.9 |
| 1985 | 34.1 | 46.8 | 58.0 | 51.4 | 47.9 | 52.8 | 59.1 | 54.3 |
| 1986 | 29.6 | 46.7 | 60.0 | 51.7 | 51.2 | 55.5 | 62.1 | 57.4 |
| 1987 | 33.3 | 50.6 | 60.1 | 52.9 | 52.0 | 57.2 | 64.4 | 59.1 |
| 1988 | 33.1 | 50.7 | 63.1 | 54.5 | 53.9 | 59.6 | 67.2 | 61.5 |
| 1989 | 30.0 | 50.7 | 65.7 | 55.4 | 55.2 | 60.7 | 69.7 | 63.4 |
| 1990 | 31.5 | 49.8 | 65.2 | 55.1 | 55.8 | 61.4 | 71.0 | 64.3 |
| 1991 | 31.5 | 47.9 | 61.9 | 52.2 | 57.2 | 62.4 | 70.3 | 64.6 |
| 1992 | 27.9 | 43.5 | 61.3 | 50.0 | 57.5 | 62.4 | 69.4 | 64.3 |
| 1993 | 25.7 | 44.6 | 59.2 | 48.4 | 58.7 | 62.6 | 70.5 | 65.2 |
| 1994 | 27.7 | 47.1 | 60.2 | 50.1 | 59.8 | 61.9 | 70.3 | 65.4 |
| 1995 | 29.1 | 41.9 | 61.1 | 50.2 | 59.8 | 64.4 | 71.7 | 66.6 |
| 1996 | 33.1 | 46.4 | 62.6 | 53.3 | 61.2 | 63.4 | 71.4 | 66.8 |
| 1997 | 35.5 | 50.0 | 64.1 | 55.2 | 62.1 | 65.1 | 72.8 | 68.2 |
| 1998 | 33.1 | 51.9 | 66.6 | 56.8 | 63.2 | 66.6 | 73.4 | 69.2 |
| 1999 | 37.6 | 55.3 | 69.4 | 60.9 | 63.1 | 68.4 | 74.4 | 70.0 |
| 2000 | 42.3 | 55.6 | 71.6 | 63.0 | 62.9 | 70.0 | 75.1 | 70.6 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 7
Part-time employment, 1976-2000

|  | Women employed <br> part-time <br> 000 s | \% of women <br> employed <br> part-time $^{1}$ | \% of men <br> employed <br> part-time $^{1}$ | Women as a \% of <br> total part-time <br> employment |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1976 | 862.2 | 23.7 | 5.9 | 70.2 |
| 1977 | 912.2 | 24.5 | 6.3 | 70.1 |
| 1978 | 962.7 | 24.7 | 6.3 | 70.7 |
| 1979 | $1,050.8$ | 25.4 | 6.6 | 71.0 |
| 1980 | $1,127.7$ | 26.0 | 6.9 | 71.3 |
| 1981 | $1,198.1$ | 26.3 | 7.3 | 71.0 |
| 1982 | $1,241.1$ | 27.5 | 8.0 | 70.6 |
| 1983 | $1,297.3$ | 28.2 | 8.8 | 69.7 |
| 1984 | $1,317.5$ | 27.8 | 8.9 | 69.3 |
| 1985 | $1,402.4$ | 28.4 | 8.8 | 70.3 |
| 1986 | $1,427.3$ | 27.9 | 8.9 | 69.9 |
| 1987 | $1,455.4$ | 27.4 | 8.7 | 70.4 |
| 1988 | $1,526.4$ | 27.6 | 8.7 | 70.9 |
| 1989 | $1,537.5$ | 27.0 | 8.8 | 70.6 |
| 1990 | $1,562.3$ | 26.9 | 9.2 | 70.0 |
| 1991 | $1,628.5$ | 28.1 | 10.2 | 69.4 |
| 1992 | $1,644.6$ | 28.4 | 10.6 | 69.0 |
| 1993 | $1,692.0$ | 29.0 | 11.2 | 68.2 |
| 1994 | $1,717.6$ | 28.9 | 10.8 | 68.9 |
| 1995 | $1,735.5$ | 28.6 | 10.8 | 68.8 |
| 1996 | $1,784.5$ | 29.2 | 10.8 | 69.2 |
| 1997 | $1,843.1$ | 29.4 | 10.5 | 70.0 |
| 1998 | $1,863.5$ | 28.8 | 10.6 | 69.7 |
| 1999 | $1,868.3$ | 28.0 | 10.3 | 69.7 |
| 2000 | $1,871.8$ | 27.3 | 10.3 | 69.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Expressed as a percentage of total employed.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 8
Percentage employed part-time, ${ }^{1}$ by age, 1976-2000

|  | People aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 25.0 | 18.0 | 21.9 | 1.5 | 24.2 | 1.4 | 24.7 | 3.6 |
| 1977 | 26.0 | 18.8 | 22.4 | 1.8 | 25.3 | 1.7 | 25.8 | 3.7 |
| 1978 | 26.6 | 18.8 | 22.3 | 1.7 | 26.1 | 1.8 | 25.9 | 4.2 |
| 1979 | 28.1 | 19.2 | 22.7 | 1.7 | 26.4 | 1.8 | 26.2 | 4.7 |
| 1980 | 28.3 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 1.9 | 27.4 | 2.0 | 27.8 | 4.4 |
| 1981 | 29.4 | 21.6 | 23.4 | 2.1 | 27.6 | 2.1 | 27.7 | 4.4 |
| 1982 | 32.7 | 24.9 | 23.5 | 2.6 | 28.3 | 2.6 | 29.9 | 4.9 |
| 1983 | 34.6 | 27.1 | 24.0 | 3.2 | 28.1 | 2.9 | 30.0 | 6.1 |
| 1984 | 35.9 | 27.7 | 23.0 | 3.2 | 27.3 | 2.8 | 30.2 | 5.6 |
| 1985 | 37.2 | 28.0 | 23.8 | 3.2 | 27.7 | 2.8 | 29.6 | 5.9 |
| 1986 | 37.9 | 28.6 | 23.0 | 3.2 | 26.9 | 2.7 | 30.4 | 6.6 |
| 1987 | 38.0 | 28.1 | 22.4 | 3.1 | 25.8 | 2.7 | 31.7 | 6.4 |
| 1988 | 38.8 | 29.8 | 22.4 | 2.9 | 26.5 | 2.4 | 31.2 | 6.9 |
| 1989 | 39.8 | 30.3 | 21.6 | 2.9 | 24.3 | 2.7 | 32.6 | 6.9 |
| 1990 | 41.1 | 32.6 | 21.5 | 3.3 | 24.3 | 2.6 | 31.0 | 7.3 |
| 1991 | 45.6 | 36.8 | 22.4 | 3.9 | 24.1 | 3.3 | 32.2 | 8.4 |
| 1992 | 47.5 | 39.0 | 22.7 | 4.3 | 23.7 | 3.6 | 32.6 | 8.8 |
| 1993 | 50.4 | 41.0 | 23.1 | 5.2 | 23.6 | 3.7 | 33.3 | 9.1 |
| 1994 | 51.4 | 39.6 | 22.9 | 4.8 | 23.2 | 3.8 | 34.0 | 9.5 |
| 1995 | 51.7 | 39.8 | 22.8 | 4.7 | 22.4 | 4.2 | 32.5 | 9.5 |
| 1996 | 53.4 | 39.0 | 23.2 | 5.1 | 23.3 | 4.2 | 32.4 | 9.8 |
| 1997 | 54.2 | 37.9 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 23.7 | 4.4 | 32.3 | 10.1 |
| 1998 | 53.6 | 38.2 | 22.8 | 4.9 | 23.0 | 4.4 | 32.4 | 10.4 |
| 1999 | 52.1 | 37.6 | 22.3 | 4.5 | 22.1 | 4.3 | 31.4 | 10.2 |
| 2000 | 51.4 | 37.1 | 21.3 | 4.4 | 21.5 | 4.2 | 30.0 | 10.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Expressed as a percentage of total employed.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 9
Reasons for part-time work, by age, 2000

|  | Women aged |  |  |  | Men aged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 45 and |  |  |  | 45 and |  |  |  |
|  | 15-24 | 25-44 | over | Total | 15-24 | 25-44 | over | Total |
|  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Own illness | 0.3 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 | 4.4 | 6.1 | 2.8 |
| Caring for children | 2.2 | 32.9 | 3.8 | 15.2 | -- | 2.4 | -- | 0.7 |
| Other personal/family responsibilities | 1.0 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 1.7 |
| Going to school | 70.8 | 5.2 | 0.8 | 24.0 | 76.3 | 16.7 | -- | 43.9 |
| Personal preference | 6.1 | 20.4 | 57.5 | 26.7 | 5.1 | 17.1 | 58.7 | 21.4 |
| Other voluntary | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Other ${ }^{1}$ | 19.1 | 28.9 | 23.2 | 24.3 | 17.0 | 52.4 | 28.1 | 27.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total employed part-time (000s) | 571.5 | 762.3 | 538.1 | 1,871.8 | 436.7 | 181.1 | 211.9 | 829.7 |
| \% employed part-time ${ }^{2}$ | 51.4 | 21.3 | 24.8 | 27.3 | 37.1 | 4.4 | 7.7 | 10.3 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes business conditions and unable to find full-time work.
${ }^{2}$ Expressed as a percentage of total employed.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 10
Self-employment, 1976-2000

|  | Self-employed <br> women | Self-employed <br> men | $\%$ of women <br> self-employed ${ }^{1}$ | $\%$ of men <br> self-employed |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 000 s | 000 s |  |  | Women as a \% of <br> total self-employment |
| 1976 | 313.9 | 879.3 | 8.6 | 14.3 |  |
| 1977 | 333.4 | 892.8 | 9.0 | 14.4 | 26.3 |
| 1978 | 359.1 | 924.6 | 9.2 | 14.6 | 27.2 |
| 1979 | 384.9 | 951.3 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 28.0 |
| 1980 | 399.6 | 986.3 | 9.2 | 14.9 | 28.8 |
| 1981 | 410.9 | 1031.6 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 28.8 |
| 1982 | 433.8 | 1069.9 | 9.6 | 16.6 | 28.4 |
| 1983 | 451.8 | 1099.6 | 9.8 | 17.1 | 28.8 |
| 1984 | 473.6 | 1095.4 | 10.0 | 16.7 | 29.1 |
| 1985 | 522.3 | 1162.8 | 10.6 | 17.4 | 30.2 |
| 1986 | 491.5 | 1164.6 | 9.6 | 17.0 | 31.0 |
| 1987 | 512.5 | 1183.2 | 9.7 | 16.9 | 29.7 |
| 1988 | 541.0 | 1231.2 | 9.8 | 17.2 | 30.2 |
| 1989 | 560.9 | 1242.5 | 9.8 | 17.1 | 30.5 |
| 1990 | 577.0 | 1265.7 | 9.9 | 17.4 | 31.1 |
| 1991 | 583.4 | 1303.9 | 10.1 | 18.4 | 31.3 |
| 1992 | 610.3 | 1309.0 | 10.5 | 18.8 | 30.9 |
| 1993 | 654.8 | 1372.3 | 11.2 | 19.5 | 31.8 |
| 1994 | 680.2 | 1356.0 | 11.4 | 18.9 | 32.3 |
| 1995 | 706.2 | 1391.6 | 11.7 | 19.1 | 33.4 |
| 1996 | 743.0 | 1426.4 | 12.1 | 19.4 | 33.7 |
| 1997 | 829.2 | 1524.5 | 13.2 | 20.3 | 34.2 |
| 1998 | 863.0 | 1562.2 | 13.3 | 20.4 | 35.2 |
| 1999 | 862.4 | 1600.5 | 12.9 | 20.3 | 35.6 |
| 2000 | 852.8 | 1568.5 | 12.4 | 19.4 | 35.0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Expressed as a percentage of total employed.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 11
Distribution of employment, by occupation, 1987, 1994 and 2000

|  | 1987 |  |  | 1994 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women as a \% of total employed in occupation | Women | Men | Women as a \% of total employed in occupation | Women | Men | Women as a \% of total employed in occupation |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Managerial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Senior management | 0.4 |  | 16.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 19.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 20.9 |
| Other management | 5.8 | 10.0 | 30.6 | 7.4 | 10.4 | 36.9 | 7.1 | 10.7 | 36.3 |
| Total management | 6.2 | 11.6 | 28.9 | 7.9 | 12.0 | 35.1 | 7.4 | 11.4 | 35.4 |
| Professional |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business and finance | 1.9 | 2.1 | 40.7 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 44.6 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 48.5 |
| Natural sciences/ engineering/ mathematics | 1.8 | 6.6 | 16.7 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 17.0 | 2.8 | 9.6 | 20.1 |
| Social sciences/ religion | 2.3 | 1.9 | 47.8 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 56.5 | 4.0 | 2.3 | 60.1 |
| Teaching | 5.0 | 2.8 | 57.3 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 59.4 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 63.1 |
| Doctors/dentists/ other health | 0.9 | 0.9 | 44.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 48.7 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 52.7 |
| Nursing/ therapy/other health-related | 8.0 | 0.9 | 87.3 | 8.1 | 1.0 | 87.1 | 7.9 | 1.0 | 87.7 |
| Artistic/literary/ recreational | 2.8 | 2.0 | 50.4 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 53.6 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 54.7 |
| Total professional | 22.8 | 17.3 | 49.8 | 25.8 | 19.6 | 52.2 | 27.4 | 21.3 | 52.3 |
| Clerical and administrative | 29.6 | 7.7 | 74.4 | 26.4 | 7.3 | 74.9 | 24.6 | 6.8 | 75.4 |
| Sales and service | 30.9 | 18.5 | 55.7 | 31.2 | 19.9 | 56.4 | 31.7 | 19.2 | 58.4 |
| Primary | 2.4 | 7.3 | 20.0 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 21.3 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 20.3 |
| Trades, transport and construction | 2.0 | 27.1 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 25.0 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 24.8 | 6.3 |
| Processing, manufacturing and utilities | 6.0 | 10.4 | 30.2 | 4.7 | 9.5 | 29.2 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 29.8 |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 43.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 45.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 46.0 |
| Total employed (000s) | 5,299.3 | 7,021.4 | -- | 5,934.0 | 7,176.9 | -- | 6,860.4 | 8,049.3 | -- |

${ }^{1}$ Includes occupations that are not classified.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 12
Unemployment, 1976-2000

|  | Women |  | Men |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total <br> unemployed | Unemployment <br> rate | Total <br> unemployed | Unemployment <br> rate |
|  | 000 s | $\%$ | 000 s | $\%$ |
| 1976 | 319.8 | 8.1 | 418.4 | 6.4 |
| 1977 | 372.0 | 9.1 | 487.7 | 7.3 |
| 1978 | 407.5 | 9.5 | 518.7 | 7.6 |
| 1979 | 390.7 | 8.6 | 472.5 | 6.8 |
| 1980 | 389.4 | 8.2 | 500.7 | 7.0 |
| 1981 | 406.9 | 8.2 | 518.6 | 7.1 |
| 1982 | 537.5 | 10.6 | 811.2 | 11.2 |
| 1983 | 596.3 | 11.5 | 899.2 | 12.3 |
| 1984 | 601.0 | 11.2 | 838.4 | 11.3 |
| 1985 | 591.8 | 10.7 | 793.0 | 10.6 |
| 1986 | 556.0 | 9.8 | 722.1 | 9.5 |
| 1987 | 534.8 | 9.2 | 656.2 | 8.5 |
| 1988 | 491.7 | 8.2 | 576.6 | 7.4 |
| 1989 | 479.7 | 7.8 | 580.5 | 7.4 |
| 1990 | 510.2 | 8.1 | 646.7 | 8.2 |
| 1991 | 619.9 | 9.7 | 859.6 | 10.9 |
| 1992 | 652.4 | 10.1 | 949.9 | 12.0 |
| 1993 | 692.2 | 10.6 | 954.8 | 12.0 |
| 1994 | 639.9 | 9.7 | 875.0 | 10.9 |
| 1995 | 602.2 | 9.0 | 791.0 | 9.8 |
| 1996 | 625.5 | 9.3 | 811.4 | 9.9 |
| 1997 | 609.3 | 8.9 | 769.3 | 9.3 |
| 1998 | 558.5 | 7.9 | 718.8 | 8.6 |
| 1999 | 521.9 | 7.3 | 668.2 | 7.8 |
| 2000 | 489.6 | 6.7 | 600.0 | 6.9 |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 13
Unemployment rates, by age, 1976-2000

|  | Labour force participants aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45-64 |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 8.1 | 6.4 |
| 1977 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 8.0 | 5.4 | 6.0 | 4.6 | 9.1 | 7.3 |
| 1978 | 13.2 | 14.6 | 8.6 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 5.0 | 9.5 | 7.6 |
| 1979 | 12.3 | 13.0 | 7.7 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 6.8 |
| 1980 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 7.0 |
| 1981 | 11.6 | 13.7 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 8.2 | 7.1 |
| 1982 | 15.4 | 20.6 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.6 | 11.2 |
| 1983 | 16.2 | 21.8 | 10.4 | 10.7 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 11.5 | 12.3 |
| 1984 | 15.3 | 19.1 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 11.2 | 11.3 |
| 1985 | 14.3 | 17.8 | 10.3 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 10.6 |
| 1986 | 13.3 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 9.8 | 9.5 |
| 1987 | 11.9 | 14.4 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 6.1 | 9.2 | 8.5 |
| 1988 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 7.9 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 5.4 | 8.2 | 7.4 |
| 1989 | 9.5 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 5.9 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 7.4 |
| 1990 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 8.1 | 8.2 |
| 1991 | 12.8 | 18.5 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 9.7 | 10.9 |
| 1992 | 14.3 | 19.6 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 8.2 | 8.5 | 10.1 | 12.0 |
| 1993 | 14.3 | 19.6 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 12.0 |
| 1994 | 13.5 | 17.9 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 9.7 | 10.9 |
| 1995 | 13.0 | 16.3 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.0 | 9.8 |
| 1996 | 13.7 | 16.9 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 9.3 | 9.9 |
| 1997 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| 1998 | 13.6 | 16.6 | 7.2 | 7.6 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 8.6 |
| 1999 | 12.6 | 15.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 7.3 | 7.8 |
| 2000 | 11.3 | 13.9 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 6.7 | 6.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes those aged 65 and over.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 14
Unemployment rates, by age and province, 2000

|  | Labour force participants aged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45-64 |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
|  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newfoundland | 20.9 | 29.5 | 15.1 | 16.7 | 12.4 | 13.9 | 15.4 | 17.8 |
| Prince Edward Island | 13.8 | 16.9 | 10.8 | 13.1 | 9.4 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 13.1 |
| Nova Scotia | 13.2 | 17.8 | 7.7 | 9.2 | 5.9 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 9.9 |
| New Brunswick | 12.5 | 18.9 | 8.0 | 10.1 | 7.7 | 9.0 | 8.9 | 11.1 |
| Quebec | 12.8 | 14.9 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 6.9 | 8.1 | 8.6 |
| Ontario | 10.6 | 12.9 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 5.9 | 5.5 |
| Manitoba | 8.9 | 9.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| Saskatchewan | 8.6 | 12.4 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 5.8 |
| Alberta | 9.8 | 11.4 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| British Columbia | 11.9 | 15.3 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 6.7 | 7.6 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes those aged 65 and over.
Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

Table 15
Unemployed, by reason for leaving last job, 2000

|  | Women |  |  | Men |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 000 s | $\%$ | 000 s | \% |  |
|  | 9.0 | 1.8 | 11.9 | 2.0 |  |
| Own illness/disability | 12.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 0.8 |  |
| Personal/family reasons | 22.6 | 4.6 | 28.7 | 4.8 |  |
| Going to school | 192.6 | 39.3 | 29.6 | 49.6 |  |
| Lost job/laid off | 1.8 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 0.4 |  |
| Retired | 64.3 | 13.1 | 69.1 | 11.5 |  |
| Other reasons | 122.2 | 25.0 | 124.7 | 20.8 |  |
| Had not worked in last year | 64.2 | 13.1 | 60.7 | 10.1 |  |
| Never worked | 489.6 | 100.0 | 600.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Statistics Canada, Labour Force Survey.

