# Survey of Intellectual Property Commercialization in the Higher Education Sector



2006 and 2005, 2008



Statistics S Canada C

Statistique Canada



#### How to obtain more information

For information about this product or the wide range of services and data available from Statistics Canada, visit our website at www.statcan.ca, e-mail us at infostats@statcan.ca, or telephone us, Monday to Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., at the following numbers:

#### Statistics Canada's National Contact Centre

Toll-free telephone	(Canada and the	e United States):
---------------------	-----------------	-------------------

Inquiries line	1-800-263-1136
National telecommunications device for the hearing impaired	1-800-363-7629
Fax line	1-877-287-4369

#### Local or international calls:

Inquiries line	1-613-951-8116
Fax line	1-613-951-0581

#### **Depository Services Program**

Inquiries line	1-800-635-7943
Fax line	1-800-565-7757

#### To access this product

This product, Catalogue no. 88-222-X, is available free in electronic format. To obtain a single issue, visit our website at www.statcan.ca and select "Publications" > "Free Internet publications."

#### Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner. To this end, Statistics Canada has developed *standards of service* that its employees observe. To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll-free at 1-800-263-1136. The service standards are also published on *www.statcan.ca* under "About us" > "Providing services to Canadians."

# Survey of Intellectual Property Commercialization in the Higher Education Sector

2006 and 2005, 2008

Published by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada

© Minister of Industry, 2008

All rights reserved. The content of this electronic publication may be reproduced, in whole or in part, and by any means, without further permission from Statistics Canada, subject to the following conditions: that it be done solely for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, review or newspaper summary, and/or for non-commercial purposes; and that Statistics Canada be fully acknowledged as follows: Source (or "Adapted from", if appropriate): Statistics Canada, year of publication, name of product, catalogue number, volume and issue numbers, reference period and page(s). Otherwise, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form, by any means—electronic, mechanical or photocopy—or for any purposes without prior written permission of Licensing Services, Client Services Division, Statistics Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0T6.

November 2008

Catalogue no. 88-222-X

ISSN 1711-2648

Frequency: Annual

Ottawa

La version française de cette publication est disponible sur demande (nº 88-222-X au catalogue).

#### Note of appreciation

Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued cooperation and goodwill.

# **User information**

#### **Symbols**

The following standard symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:

- . not available for any reference period
- .. not available for a specific reference period
- ... not applicable
- 0 true zero or a value rounded to zero
- 0s value rounded to 0 (zero) where there is a meaningful distinction between true zero and the value that was rounded
- p preliminary
- r revised
- x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the Statistics Act
- E use with caution
- F too unreliable to be published

# **Table of contents**

Hi	ghlights	<b>3</b>	6
A	nalysis		7
St	atistica	tables	
1	Institu	tions engaged in intellectual property management	10
	1-1	2006	10
	1-2	2005	10
2	Exper	nditures on intellectual property management	11
	2-1	2006	11
	2-2	2005	11
3	Sourc	e of operational expenditures for intellectual property management	11
	3-1	2006	11
	3-2	2005	12
4	Years	of experience of technology transfer personnel	12
	4-1	2006	12
	4-2	2005	12
5	Highe	st educational attainment of technology transfer personnel	13
	5-1	2006	13
	5-2	2005	13
6	Legal	services used for intellectual property matters by institutions with central offices engaged in	
	intelle	ctual property management	13
	6-1	2006	13
	6-2	2005	14
7	Policy	requirements for researcher to report intellectual property created at the institution	14
	7-1	2006	14
	7-2	2005	15
8	Owne	rship policy of intellectual property created at the institution	15
	8-1	2006	15
	8-2	2005	16

#### Table of contents - continued

9 Res	search contracts by sponsor	16
9-1	2006	16
9-2	2005	16
10 Res	search contracts by type of research	17
10-1	2006	17
10-2	2005	17
11 Res	search contracts by type of intellectual property provision of research contracts	17
11-1	2006	17
11-2	2005	18
12 Typ	es of intellectual property protection engaged in	18
12-1	from 2002 to 2006	18
12-2	from 2001 to 2005	18
13 Inte	ellectual property resulting in protection activity and new intellectual property disclosed	19
13-1	during 2006	19
13-2	during 2005	19
14 Pat	ents status by field of study	19
14-1	2006	19
14-2	2005	20
15 Pate	ents status issued by field of study and country	20
15-1	2006	20
15-2	2005	20
16 Pate	ents held and commercialized	21
16-1	2006	21
16-2	2005	21
17 Lice	enses and options	21
17-1	2006	21
17-2	2005	22
18 Inco	ome received from intellectual property	22
18-1	2006	22
18-2	2005	22
19 Dist	tribution of income from intellectual property	23
19-1	2006	23
19-2	2005	23

#### Table of contents – continued

20 5	oin-off companies, purpose and link to institution	23
20-	2006	23
20-	2005	24
21 Y	ear of incorporation of spin-off companies	24
21-	2006	24
21-	2005	24
22 1	chnology field or sector of spin-off companies	25
22-	2006	25
22-	2005	25
23 [	vidends, equity disposition, remaining equity and venture capital investment of spin-off co	mpanies 25
23-	2006	25
23-	2005	26
24 F	egional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 1	26
24-	2006	26
24-	2005	27
25 F	egional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 2	27
25-	2006	27
25-	2005	28
26 5	oin-offs companies grouped by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS)	28
26-	2006	28
26-	2005	28
Data	uality, concepts and methodology	
Data	ources and methodology	29

# **Highlights**

- The number of educational institutions (Canadian universities and teaching hospitals) engaged in intellectual property management increased 3.3% in 2005 and 2.1% in 2006 (Table 1-1).
- Between 2005 and 2006 total operational expenditures for intellectual property management rose 2.3% to \$42.5 million (current dollars) (Table 2-1).
- Total income from intellectual property rose 8.2% in 2006 to \$59.7 million from \$55.2 million (current dollars) in 2005 (Table 18-1).
- There were 1,881 fewer research contracts in 2006 compared to 2005, but the value of this smaller number of contracts was 15.3% higher (Table 9-1).
- Between 2005 and 2006 the number of inventions developed by researchers and reported to educational institutions dropped from 1,452 to 1,356 (-6.6%) (Table 13-1).
- The number of patents issued to Canadian universities and teaching hospitals fell from 376 to 339 (-9.8%), while the total number of patents held ascended from 3,961 to 4,784 (20.8%) (Tables 15-1 and 16-1).
- In 2005 and 2006 a total of 43 spin-off companies launched by educational institutions were incorporated. As of 2006 over one-third of reported spin-offs were located in Ontario (Tables 21-1 and 25-1).

## **Analysis**

#### Introduction

Intellectual property commercialization is the processes of transferring new technologies, in the form of products or knowledge, from the lab to the market place. There are various indicators to measure this process, for example: the number of institutions engaged in intellectual property (IP) management (Table 1-1), IP income (Table 18-1), number and value of research contracts (Table 9-1), number of inventions, patents and licenses (Tables 13-1, 14-1, 15-1, 16-1 and 17-1).

Technology production at Canadian universities and teaching hospitals in 2005 and 2006 increased at a slower rate than in 2004. However, income from IP rebounded in 2005 and this progression continued in 2006. The latter indicator was developed by the Association of Universities and Colleges (AUCC) in consultation with Industry Canada and Statistics Canada as part of the 2002 Framework of Agreed Principles on Federally Funded University Research.<sup>1</sup>

Among other indicators, the number of research contracts fell 12% between 2005 and 2006. Meanwhile, the value of these contracts increased with more Canadian businesses and organizations contributing domestically. The number of applications and patents held in Canada and other countries (Table 16-1) continued to expand. However, for the first time in 2006, the number of new IP disclosures fell 6.6% as 96 fewer inventions were made (Table 13-1). This 6.6% drop may be due, in small part, to a decrease in the number of institutions reporting.<sup>2</sup>

#### **Technology production**

Technology production activity at Canadian universities and teaching hospitals (educational institutions) was up in 2005 and 2006 but the pace of increase was successively slower than in 2004 (Table 1-1). The number of patents issued, declined 5.3% in 2005 and further declined 9.8% to a count of 339 in 2006 (Table 15-1). However, the patent portfolio held by Canadian universities and hospitals at the end of 2005 stood at 3,961 and advanced to 4,784 by the end of 2006 (Table 16-1). There exists a time lag between applying for a patent or copyright and its approval.

The pool of discoveries and patent applications influence the number of technologies protected. In 2006 the number of inventions fell 6.6%, while discoveries that are more likely to be legally protected dropped 7.1% to 707 (Table 13-1). These are disclosures which, after evaluation, universities and hospitals determined to contain enough novelty to represent an advance over existing technologies and offer economic potential. Another indicator, patent applications in the pipeline at various stages of progress, increased slightly, by 2.3%, accompanied by a noted shift in the patent application field of study away from agricultural and biological sciences towards engineering and applied sciences (Table 14-1).

The number of full-time equivalent employees engaged in IP management from 2005 to 2006 more than doubled the rate of increase experienced over the 2004 to 2005 year period. The number of technology transfer personnel also expanded with the percentages of this group holding bachelor, masters and doctorate degrees increasing slightly (Table 5-1). Despite these employment increases, total operational expenditures for IP management increased 2.3% between 2005 and 2006 offset by the drop in patent and regular legal expenditures (Table 2-1).

The target income from commercialization activities in 2010 is projected at \$70.2 million or more. Source: Measuring Success in Relation to Federal Investments in University Research: An AUCC Discussion Paper. November 17, 2006 p.p. 14.

<sup>2.</sup> One less educational institution responded in 2006: 118 reported in 2005 versus 117 in 2006.

#### Commercialization

#### **Patents**

University and hospital technologies are generally commercialized in two ways: they are either licensed out to established business organizations or universities may set up new companies.<sup>3</sup>

Over one-half (54.7%) of the patent portfolio held by universities and hospitals had been licensed out, assigned or otherwise commercialized at the end of 2006 as compared with 48.4% at the end of 2005 and 44.0% at the end of 2004 (Table 16-1). In particular, there was a significant effort to take technologies to the market in the United States (U.S.). The commercialization ratio of patents held in the U.S. notably increased although it was still below the overall ratio.

Income from IP increased 8.2% in 2006 to \$59.7 million (current dollars) as the percentage of income received from Canadian sources fell 4.4%. Overall the percentage of IP income attributed to milestone payments and one time sales of IP strengthened while funds from running royalties diminished (Table 18-1).

#### Spin-offs

In addition to licensing technologies, educational institutions and teaching hospitals spun off companies to commercialize their respective technologies. In 2006 there were 14 additional spin-off companies, bringing the total number of spin-off companies incorporated prior to 1981 to 1,103 (Table 21-1). The regional distribution of spin-off companies generated to date is: Ontario (37%), British Columbia (22%), Quebec and the Prairies (both 17%) and the Atlantic provinces (7%) (Table 25-1).

The spin-offs are largely built upon leading-edge technologies mostly in the field of health sciences, engineering and applied sciences, informatics and biotechnologies. More than one-third of all spin-offs are built upon technologies directly related to health sciences, a not surprising result in light of the fact medical technologies are on the leading edge of technological advancement and 33 of the 117 institutions covered in the 2006 survey are hospitals (Table 1-1).

<sup>3.</sup> These companies are set up to: (a) license the institution's technology; (b) fund research at the institution in order to develop technology that will be licensed by the company; and/or (c) provide a service that was originally offered through a department or unit of the institution.

# **Statistical tables**

Table 1-1 Institutions engaged in intellectual property management — 2006

	Hospitals	Universities	Responding institutions
		number	
Institutions Institutions engaged in intellectual property management Institutions with intellectual property offices Count of intellectual property offices	33 24 13 13	84 72 55 69	117 96 68 82
		percent	
Institutions engaged in intellectual property management Institutions with intellectual property offices	73 54	86 76	82 71

Note(s): Intellectual property management includes identification, protection, promotion or commercialization of intellectual property. Institutions: Educational institutions. The percentage of institutions with intellectual property offices is calculated as follows: (Institutions with intellectual property offices/ Institutions engaged in intellectual property management)x100. Previously this indicator was calculated: (Institutions with intellectual property offices/ Respondents)x100.

Table 1-2 Institutions engaged in intellectual property management — 2005

	Hospitals	Universities	Responding institutions
		number	
Institutions Institutions engaged in intellectual property management Institutions with intellectual property offices Count of intellectual property offices	33 24 14 14	85 70 62 79	118 94 76 93
		percent	
Institutions engaged in intellectual property management Institutions with intellectual property offices	73 58	82 89	80 81

Note(s): Intellectual property management includes identification, protection, promotion or commercialization of intellectual property. Institutions: Educational institutions. The percentage of institutions with intellectual property offices is calculated as follows: (Institutions with intellectual property offices/ Institutions engaged in intellectual property management)x100. Previously this indicator was calculated: (Institutions with intellectual property offices/ Respondents)x100.

Table 2-1
Expenditures on intellectual property management — 2006

	Expenditures
	thousands of dollars
<b>Total operational expenditures for intellectual property management</b> Salaries and benefits corresponding to full-time equivalents Patent and regular legal expenditures <sup>1</sup> Litigation expenditures <sup>2</sup> Other operational expenditures	<b>42,492</b> 23,899 12,434 575 5,585
	number
Full-time equivalent employees engaged in intellectual property management	323

I. Patent and regular legal expenditures include those for patent filings, patent searches, registration of copyright, etc.

Note(s): Based on response from 68 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Full-time equivalent is an estimate of the number of person-years.

Table 2-2
Expenditures on intellectual property management — 2005

	Expenditures
	thousands of dollars
Total operational expenditures for intellectual property management Salaries and benefits corresponding to full-time equivalents Patent and regular legal expenditures <sup>1</sup> Litigation expenditures <sup>2</sup> Other operational expenditures	<b>41,544</b> 21,266 14,339 454 5,485
	number
Full-time equivalent employees engaged in intellectual property management	292

I. Patent and regular legal expenditures include those for patent filings, patent searches, registration of copyright, etc.

Note(s): Based on response from 76 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Full-time equivalent is an estimate of the number of person-years.

Table 3-1
Source of operational expenditures for intellectual property management — 2006

	Canada	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia
			percent	:		
Institutional base funding Institutional one-time allocations Intellectual property commercialization	49 8	27 7	64 12	42 9	82 3	38 6
revenues External sources	17 26	2 65	10 14	25 24	8 8	32 24

Note(s): Based on response of 68 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

<sup>2.</sup> Litigation expenditures are those related to disputes over patents or other intellectual property and include settlements.

Litigation expenditures are those related to disputes over patents/other intellectual property and include settlements.

Table 3-2
Source of operational expenditures for intellectual property management — 2005

	Canada	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Prairies	British Columbia
			percent			
Institutional base funding Institutional one-time allocations Intellectual property commercialization	45 9	34 5	64 14	46 9	50 7	22 5
revenues External sources	20 26	8 53	12 11	24 21	29 14	29 44

**Note(s):** Based on response of 76 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 4-1
Years of experience of technology transfer personnel — 2006

	Personnel			
	number	percent		
Total Less than 2 years 3 to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years over 20 years Not stated	<b>333</b> 86 64 102 32 20 20	100 26 19 31 10 6		

Note(s): Based on response from 68 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management.

Table 4-2 Years of experience of technology transfer personnel — 2005

Personnel				
	number	percent		
Total Less than 2 years 3 to 4 years 5 to 9 years 10 to 14 years 15 to 19 years over 20 years	310 68 71 77 33 20 21	100 22 23 25 11 6		
Not stated	20	6		

Note(s): Based on response from 76 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management.

Table 5-1
Highest educational attainment of technology transfer personnel — 2006

	Personnel
	number
Total technology transfer personnel Bachelor's degree Master's degree Doctorate Other	333 87 132 77 37

Note(s): Based on response from 68 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management.

Table 5-2
Highest educational attainment of technology transfer personnel — 2005

	Personnel
	number
Total technology transfer personnel Bachelor's degree Master's degree Doctorate Other	310 79 121 68 42

Note(s): Based on response from 76 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management.

Table 6-1
Legal services used for intellectual property matters by institutions with central offices engaged in intellectual property management — 2006

	Responding institutions
	number
Total institutions with intellectual property offices engaged in intellectual property management In-house legal counsel Outside legal counsel In-house patent agent Outside patent agent Not stated	68 31 47 4 39 6

Note(s): Based on response of 68 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 6-2
Legal services used for intellectual property matters by institutions with central offices engaged in intellectual property management — 2005

	Responding institutions
	number
Total institutions with intellectual property offices engaged in intellectual property management In-house legal counsel Outside legal counsel In-house patent agent Outside patent agent Not stated	76 34 56 3 48 7

Note(s): Based on response of 76 institutions with intellectual property offices, engaged in intellectual property management. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 7-1
Policy requirements for researcher to report intellectual property created at the institution — 2006

	Always	Sometimes	Never	No reporting policy	No such intellectual property
			percent		
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright	55	11	5	19	10
Software or databases Educational materials	35 25	25 24	9 19	21 24	10 9
Other materials Industrial designs Trademarks or official marks New plant varieties	27 30 32 24	22 13 14 11	12 11 9 7	23 21 22 19	15 25 24 40

**Note(s):** Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Values do not include research contracts. Due to rounding, components may not add to the total.

Table 7-2
Policy requirements for researcher to report intellectual property created at the institution — 2005

	Always	Sometimes	Never	No reporting policy	No such intellectual property
			percent		
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright	42	17	7	28	6
Software or databases Educational materials	29 23	25 26	8 15	32 33	5
Other materials	22	25	13	36	4
Industrial designs Trademarks or official marks	25 26	16 16	9 9	35 36	14 13
New plant varieties	16	15	8	31	31

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Values do not include research contracts. Due to rounding, components may not add to the total.

Table 8-1
Ownership policy of intellectual property created at the institution — 2006

	Institution owns	Researcher owns	Joint ownership	No policy on ownership	Other ownership policy	No such intellectual property
			perce	nt		
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright	17	34	23	15	2	9
Software or databases	14	39	19	12	4	12
Educational materials	14	52	12	9	4	10
Other materials	11	38	10	14	3	24
Industrial designs	13	30	13	25	3	16
Trademarks or official marks	21	28	9	25	2	15
New plant varieties	6	26	12	28	1	28

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions.

Table 8-2
Ownership policy of intellectual property created at the institution — 2005

	Institution owns	Researcher owns	Joint ownership	No policy on ownership	Other ownership policy	No such intellectual property
			perce	nt		
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright	19	34	20	19	2	7
Software or databases	15	36	19	19	6	6
Educational materials Other materials	12 11	50 44	14 11	18 26	4	3
Industrial designs	14	31	14	26	ĭ	14
Trademarks or official marks New plant varieties	21 8	27 28	12 7	25 25	3 2	13 31

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions.

Table 9-1
Research contracts by sponsor — 2006

	Contracts			
	number	thousands of dollars		
Total Federal government Provincial and other levels of government Other Canadian sources (business enterprises or organizations) Foreign sources (government, business enterprises or organizations) Other	<b>13,996</b> 1,705 2,442 5,975 2,092 1,782	<b>1,154,268</b> 148,157 184,839 286,667 198,507 336,097		

Note(s): Research contracts do not include research grants (e.g. SSHRC, NSERC, CIHR) and multi-year contracts have been prorated for the reference year. Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions.

Table 9-2
Research contracts by sponsor — 2005

	Contracts	Contracts		
	number	thousands of dollars		
Total Federal government Provincial and other levels of government Other Canadian sources (business enterprises or organizations) Foreign sources (government, business enterprises or organizations) Other	<b>15,877</b> 2,340 2,881 5,922 1,651 3,083	1,001,270 180,804 201,822 263,150 241,735 113,759		

**Note(s):** Research contracts do not include research grants (e.g. SSHRC, NSERC, CIHR) and multi-year contracts have been prorated for the reference year. Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions.

# Table 10-1 Research contracts by type of research — 2006

	Contracts
	thousands of dollars
Total value of research contracts Clinical trials Service contracts Collaborative research and development Sponsored research contracts Other Unclassified	1,154,268 270,393 22,760 95,175 193,444 572,496

**Note(s):** Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

# Table 10-2 Research contracts by type of research — 2005

	Contracts
	thousands of dollars
Total value of research contracts Clinical trials Service contracts Collaborative research and development Sponsored research contracts Other Unclassified	1,001,270 327,031 20,811 93,332 243,923 50,152 266,021

**Note(s):** Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

Table 11-1
Research contracts by type of intellectual property provision of research contracts — 2006

	Contracts
	thousands of dollars
Total response	861,115
The sponsor owns the intellectual property	43,906
The sponsor has a license to the intellectual property The sponsor has an option to acquire a license to the intellectual property under	51,424
commercially reasonable terms	42,526
The intellectual property is unrestricted Other/Unknown	25,941 697,318

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. These values do not include research grants (e.g. SSHRC, NSERC, CIHR), clinical trial and service contracts.

Table 11-2
Research contracts by type of intellectual property provision of research contracts — 2005

	Contracts
	thousands of dollars
Total response The sponsor owns the intellectual property	<b>337,276</b> 33,952
The sponsor has a license to the intellectual property The sponsor has an option to acquire a license to the intellectual property under	18,943
commercially reasonable terms	153,566
The intellectual property is unrestricted Other/Unknown	29,081 101,734

Note(s): These values do not include research grants (e.g. SSHRC, NSERC, CIHR), clinical trial and service contracts. Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions.

Table 12-1
Types of intellectual property protection engaged in from 2002 to 2006

	Responding institutions
	number
Filing of patent applications Registration of copyright Registration for industrial designs, trademarks, official marks or integrated circuit topographies Filing of applications for plant breeders' rights Executing non-disclosure or confidentiality agreements Administration of material transferred agreements inbound Administration of material transferred agreements outbound Other	64 30 31 9 69 42 46 8

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 12-2

Types of intellectual property protection engaged in from 2001 to 2005

	Responding institutions
	number
Filing of patent applications Registration of copyright Registration for industrial designs, trademarks, official marks or integrated circuit topographies Filing of applications for plant breeders' rights Executing non-disclosure or confidentiality agreements Administration of material transferred agreements inbound Administration of material transferred agreements outbound Other	61 39 40 9 69 48 49 3

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 13-1 Intellectual property resulting in protection activity and new intellectual property disclosed during 2006

	Intellectual property protected	New intellectual property
	number	
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright Industrial designs, trademarks, official marks and new plant varieties Other	707 38 76 x	1,356 547 182 115

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions.

Table 13-2 Intellectual property resulting in protection activity and new intellectual property disclosed during 2005

	Intellectual property protected	New intellectual property
	number	
Inventions Intellectual property protected by copyright Industrial designs, trademarks, official marks and new plant varieties Other	761 253 45 2	1,452 796 227 x

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions.

Table 14-1
Patents status by field of study — 2006

	Patent applications			
	Initiating	Follow-on	Unclassified	Total
	number			
Total	719	715	8	1,442
Agriculture and biological sciences Engineering and applied sciences	49 144	102 139	0	151 289
Health professions and sciences	203	179	0	382
Mathematics and physical sciences	55	46	2	103
Unclassified	268	249	0	517

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. For international patent applications the parent Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) is counted as one application and each entry into national phase as one application.

Table 14-2
Patents status by field of study — 2005

	Patent applications			
	Initiating	Follow-on	Unclassified	Total
Total Agriculture and biological sciences Engineering and applied sciences Health professions and sciences Mathematics and physical sciences Unclassified	<b>495</b> 50 98 143 36 168	832 148 107 199 93 285	83    83	1,410 198 205 342 129 536

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. For international patent applications the parent Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) is counted as one application and each entry into national phase as one application.

Table 15-1
Patents status issued by field of study and country — 2006

	Canada	United States	Other	Unclassified	Total
	number				
<b>Total</b> Agriculture and biological sciences	<b>42</b>	<b>133</b> 12	<b>164</b> 36		339 50
Engineering and applied sciences Health professions and sciences	14 6	34 35	18 38		66 79
Mathematics and physical sciences Unclassified	2 18	11 41	1 71	 	14 130

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. For international patent applications the parent Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) is counted as one application and each entry into national phase as one application.

Table 15-2
Patents status issued by field of study and country — 2005

	Canada	United States	Other	Unclassified	Total
		ı	number		
Total Agriculture and biological sciences Engineering and applied sciences Health professions and sciences Mathematics and physical sciences Unclassified	<b>26</b> 6 3 5 2 10	<b>157</b> 20 20 26 17 74	160 42 5 30 9 74	33     33	376 68 28 61 28 191

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. For international patent applications the parent Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) is counted as one application and each entry into national phase as one application.

**Table 16-1** Patents held and commercialized — 2006

	Canada	United States	Other countries	Unclassified	Total
_			number		
Total Patents held at the end of 2006, including patents issued that year For institutions that licensed, assigned or commercialized at least one patent this year:	594	2,061	2,129	0	4,784
Total patents held, including patents issued at the end of 2006	465	1,360	1,281	0	3,106
Number of patents licensed, assigned or otherwise commercialized at the end of 2006	247	548	857	48	1,700

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

**Table 16-2** Patents held and commercialized — 2005

	Canada	United States	Other countries	Unclassified	Total
			number		
Total Patents held at the end of 2005, including patents issued that year  For institutions that licensed, assigned or commercialized at least one patent this year:	393	1,542	1,685	341	3,961
Total patents held, including patents issued at the end of 2005	319	1,166	х	x	2,703
Number of patents licensed, assigned or otherwise commercialized at the end of 2005	130	412	652	115	1,309

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

**Table 17-1** Licenses and options — 2006

	Exclusive and sole licenses	Non-exclusive licenses	Unclassified	Total
		number		
Total new licenses	219	214	4	437
Total new licenses executed with Canadian licensees	169	58	3	230
Total new licenses executed with foreign licensees	50	156	1	207
Unclassified new licenses	••		••	
Total active licenses	897	987	154	2,038
Total active licenses with Canadian licensees	665	204	17	886
Total active licenses with foreign licensees	232	783	11	1,026
Unclassified active licenses	••		126	126

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. Counts include stand-alone licenses and options only and excludes those embedded in research contracts and non-commercial (royalty free) licenses.

Table 17-2 Licenses and options — 2005

	Exclusive and sole licenses	Non-exclusive licenses	Unclassified	Total
		number		
Total new licenses	185	360	76	621
Total new licenses executed with Canadian licensees	137	77	••	214
Total new licenses executed with foreign licensees	48	283		331
Unclassified new licenses			76	76
Total active licenses	994	713	1,129	2,836
Total active licenses with Canadian licensees	732	178	. 47	957
Total active licenses with foreign licensees	262	535	4	801
Unclassified active licenses			1,078	1,078

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified: Respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested. Counts include stand-alone licenses and options only and excludes those embedded in research contracts and non-commercial (royalty free) licenses.

Table 18-1 Income received from intellectual property — 2006

	Canadian sources	Foreign sources	Unclassified	Total
		thousands of	dollars	
Total	8,560	25,627	25,501	59,689
Running royalties	3,913	19,679	17,617	41,209
Milestone payments	810	X	x	2,955
From one time sales of intellectual property	1,001	Х	X	4,483
Reimbursement of patent, legal and related costs License income received from another Canadian institution	1,518	621	3,216	5,355
under a revenue-sharing agreement	392	Х	x	461
Other	926	401	3,898	5,225

**Note(s):** Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Unclassified is the category used when respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

Table 18-2 Income received from intellectual property — 2005

	Canadian sources	Foreign sources	Unclassified	Total
		thousands of	dollars	
Total Running royalties Milestone payments From one time sales of intellectual property Reimbursement of patent, legal and related costs License income received from another Canadian institution	<b>10,362</b>	23,204	21,607	55,173
	6,533	19,424	17,721	43,678
	513	x	X	1,404
	519	x	X	2,728
	2,138	886	2,791	5,815
under a revenue-sharing agreement Other	377	х	x	519
	282	330	417	1,029

**Note(s):** Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Unclassified is the category used when respondents provided totals but were unable to breakdown components as requested.

Table 19-1
Distribution of income from intellectual property — 2006

	Income		
	thousands of dollars	percent	
Total To individuals (inventors and co-inventors) To this institution or to administrative units therein To other institutions Other/Unknown	<b>53,944</b> 20,466 24,039 3,093 6,346	100 38 45 6 12	

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 19-2
Distribution of income from intellectual property — 2005

	Income		
	thousands of dollars	percent	
<b>Total</b> To individuals (inventors and co-inventors) To this institution or to administrative units therein To other institutions Other/Unknown	<b>51,046</b> 20,705 23,814 2,585 3,942	100 41 47 5 8	

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 20-1
Spin-off companies, purpose and link to institution — 2006

	Spin-off companies		
	number	percent	
Total License <sup>1</sup> Research and development <sup>2</sup> Service <sup>3</sup> License and research and development Other Not stated	1,103 431 128 38 50 33 423	100 39 12 3 5 3 3	

<sup>1.</sup> Obtain a license to utilize the institution's technology.

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

<sup>2.</sup> Fund research at the institution in order to develop technology that will be licensed by the company.

<sup>3.</sup> Provided a service that was originally offered through a department or unit of the institution.

Table 20-2
Spin-off companies, purpose and link to institution — 2005

	Spin-off companies		
	number	percent	
Total License 1 Research and development 2 Service 3 License and research and development Other Not stated	1,027 405 122 33 46 33 388	100 39 12 3 4 3 3	

<sup>1.</sup> Obtain a license to utilize the institution's technology.

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 21-1
Year of incorporation of spin-off companies — 2006

Spin-off companies		
nber	percent	
103	100	
44	4	
63	6	
90	8	
181	17	
358	32	
289	26	
29	3	
14	1	
35	3	
1 3 2	03 44 63 90 81 558 89 29	

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 21-2 Year of incorporation of spin-off companies — 2005

	Spin-off companies			
_	number	percent		
Total	1,027	100		
Before 1980	44	4		
1980 to 1984	63	6		
1985 to 1989	89	9		
1990 to 1994	177	17		
1995 to 1999	345	34		
2000 to 2004	269	26		
2005	16	2		
Not stated	24	2		

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

<sup>2.</sup> Fund research at the institution in order to develop technology that will be licensed by the company.

<sup>3.</sup> Provided a service that was originally offered through a department or unit of the institution.

Table 22-1
Technology field or sector of spin-off companies — 2006

	Spin-off companies			
	number	percent		
Total	1,103	100		
Agriculture or biology	132	12		
Health sciences	380	34		
Engineering or applied sciences	194	18		
Information	184	17		
Mathematics or physical sciences	99	9		
Business or management	12	1		
Other	96	9		
Not stated	6	1		

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 22-2
Technology field or sector of spin-off companies — 2005

	Spin-off companies			
	number	percent		
Total	1,027	100		
Agriculture or biology Health sciences	<sup>^</sup> 115	11		
Health sciences	369	36		
Engineering or applied sciences	180	18		
Information	181	18		
Mathematics or physical sciences	98	10		
Business or management	12	1		
Other	66	6		
Not stated	6	1		

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 23-1
Dividends, equity disposition, remaining equity and venture capital investment of spin-off companies — 2006

	Spin-off companies
	thousands of dollars
Cash dividends received by institutions Equity holdings, options and warrants disposed of by institutions Remaining equity held by the institutions in publicly traded spin-offs Investment in spin-offs raised with the assistance of the institution	98 4,824 41,524 x

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 23-2
Dividends, equity disposition, remaining equity and venture capital investment of spin-off companies — 2005

	Spin-off companies
	thousands of dollars
Cash dividends received by institutions Equity holdings, options and warrants disposed of by institutions Remaining equity held by the institutions in publicly traded spin-offs Investment in spin-offs raised with the assistance of the institution	x 1,235 41,336 23,002

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions.

Table 24-1
Regional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 1 — 2006

	Sponsored research <sup>1</sup>	Income from intellectual property	Expenditures on intellectual property management	Research contracts	Responding institutions
		millions of	dollars		number
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	<b>5,449</b> 286 1,404 2,229 935 595	60 1 x 15 7 x	<b>42</b> 3 9 14 8 8	<b>1,154</b> 90 140 433 358 134	117 18 29 36 20 14
			percent		
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	100 5 26 41 17 11	100 2 x 25 11 x	100 7 21 33 19 19	100 8 12 37 31 12	100 15 25 31 17 12

Values for sponsored research are taken from Report 3.1 on the Canadian Association of University Business Offices (CAUBO) data for the year ended 2006.
 Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions. Atlantic: Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. Prairies: Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 24-2
Regional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 1 — 2005

	Sponsored research <sup>1</sup>	Income from intellectual property	Expenditures on intellectual property management	Research contracts	Responding institutions
		millions of o	dollars		number
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	<b>5,215</b> 257 1,381 2,097 973 507	55 0s x 12 9 x	<b>42</b> 2 11 13 6 9	<b>1,001</b> 85 162 506 131 118	118 18 29 37 20 14
			percent		
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	100 6 18 48 26 2	100 0 x 22 16 x	100 5 26 32 15 22	100 8 16 51 13 12	100 15 25 31 17 12

Values for sponsored research are taken from Report 3.1 on the Canadian Association of University Business Offices (CAUBO) data for the year ended 2005.
 Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions. Atlantic: Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. Prairies: Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 25-1
Regional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 2 — 2006

	Inventions disclosed	Inventions protected	Patent applications filed	Inventions declined	New licenses and options	Total active licenses and options	Spin-off companies created to date	Responding institutions
				num	ber			
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	<b>1,356</b> 49 296 525 168 318	707 21 169 226 65 226	1,442 59 366 518 184 315	353 31 78 102 26 116	437 7 100 221 59 50	2,038 23 616 716 321 362	<b>1,103</b> 77 185 405 190 246	117 18 29 36 20 14
				perc	ent			
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	100 4 22 39 12 23	100 3 24 32 9 32	100 4 25 36 13 22	100 9 22 29 7 33	100 2 23 51 14 11	100 1 30 35 16 18	100 7 17 37 17 22	100 15 25 31 17 12

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions. Atlantic: Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. Prairies: Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 25-2
Regional differences in intellectual property commercialization, part 2 — 2005

	Inventions disclosed	Inventions protected	Patent applications filed	Inventions declined	New licenses and options	Total active licenses and options	Spin-off companies created to date	Responding institutions
				num	ber			
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	1,452 42 279 577 266 288	<b>761</b> 22 189 243 100 207	1,410 26 403 426 190 365	322 24 54 129 20 95	<b>621</b> 5 89 386 79 62	<b>2,836</b> 40 598 1,275 522 401	<b>1,027</b> 73 170 372 178 234	118 18 29 37 20 14
	,			perc	ent			
Total Canada Atlantic Quebec Ontario Prairies British Columbia	100 3 19 40 18 20	100 3 25 32 13 27	100 2 29 30 13 26	100 7 17 40 6 30	100 1 14 62 13 10	100 1 21 45 18 14	100 7 17 36 17 23	100 15 25 31 17 12

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Institutions: Educational institutions. Atlantic: Newfoundland and Labrador, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. Prairies: Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Alberta. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 26-1
Spin-offs companies grouped by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) — 2006

	Spin-off companies			
	number	percent		
Total spin-offs Service industries Manufacturing industries Other industries Industry information not available	<b>1,103</b> 649 126 9 319	100 59 11 1 29		

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 117 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

Table 26-2
Spin-offs companies grouped by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) — 2005

	Spin-off companies			
	number	percent		
Total spin-offs Service industries Manufacturing industries Other industries Industry information not available	<b>1,027</b> 621 117 9 280	100 60 11 1 27		

Note(s): Based on the questionnaires received representing 118 responding institutions. Components may not add to total due to rounding.

## Data sources and methodology

The universe is comprised of all members of the Association of Universities and Colleges of Canada (AUCC), as well as the university-affiliated research hospitals. The latter includes some members of the Association of Canadian Teaching Hospitals (ACTH) and some other hospitals reporting research and development activity in the Annual Hospital Survey.

This survey is a census with a cross-sectional design. Data are collected for all units of the target population; no sampling is done.

Surveys are subject to certain types of errors: coverage, non-response, interpretation and processing errors. The methodology of this survey has been designed to minimize errors and to reduce their potential impact.

It is impossible at this point to determine the non-response rates (weighted by size measures) and adjust for the non-response or other coverage issues. This release as in previous years will only cover the respondent population.

Both micro and macro-editing are performed. As questionnaires are returned the information is captured onto a screen containing the previous response. This forces a comparison of the previous and current responses. In addition, internal inconsistencies are noted and followed up by telephone.

Limited imputation or estimation of missing information is conducted for this survey. Due to the small number of institutions and the uniqueness of the institutions in the sample, imputation is completed manually. Imputation is closely tied to editing. Any missing information that can be estimated is imputed based on related answers. For larger institutions some of the information is available from public sources such as: university websites, the Association of University Technology Managers (AUTM) survey, the Canadian Association of University Business Offices (CAUBO), annual reports, press releases and conference presentations.

At the end of these procedures a certain amount of information is still missing. In one of the most common cases information is only provided in aggregate and not broken down into the categories requested. For these cases, an "unclassified" category was created. If no information is available, the field is left blank and no estimation is done.

Response rates for 2006:

- 135 questionnaires mailed out.
- 117 responding institutions (this includes combined reporters).

Further details on the methodology of the survey can be found at:

http://www.statcan.ca/english/sdds/index.htm