Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic

by Karine Garneau and Clémence Zossou

Release date: February 2, 2021
How to obtain more information

For information about this product or the wide range of services and data available from Statistics Canada, visit our website, www.statcan.gc.ca.

You can also contact us by

Email at STATCAN.infostats-Infostats.STATCAN@canada.ca

Telephone, from Monday to Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., at the following numbers:

- Statistical Information Service 1-800-263-1136
- National telecommunications device for the hearing impaired 1-800-363-7629
- Fax line 1-514-283-9350

Depository Services Program

- Inquiries line 1-800-635-7943
- Fax line 1-800-565-7757

Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner. To this end, Statistics Canada has developed standards of service that its employees observe. To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll-free at 1-800-263-1136. The service standards are also published on www.statcan.gc.ca under “Contact us” > “Standards of service to the public”.

Note of appreciation

Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long-standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued co-operation and goodwill.

Published by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada as represented by the Minister of Industry, 2021

All rights reserved. Use of this publication is governed by the Statistics Canada Open Licence Agreement.

An HTML version is also available.

Cette publication est aussi disponible en français.
Misinformation During the COVID-19 Pandemic

by Karine Garneau and Clémence Zossou

Since the pandemic began, a great deal of information has circulated online and on social media about COVID-19, and many Canadians have turned to online resources to stay informed.

The COVID-19 pandemic was accompanied by an infodemic—an overabundance of information, some of which is true and some of which is not, which made it very difficult for people to find facts and reliable sources. Misinformation in the context of COVID-19 can endanger the population’s health, especially if the news that spreads is about false prevention measures or treatments, or if it undermines the population’s trust in health services and public or political institutions (WHO 2020; OECD 2020).

For several years now, national and international organizations have been trying to better understand the mechanisms of misinformation and how to limit its reach. To counter misinformation, the public and policy makers need to rely on healthy, resilient information environments (OECD 2020). That means public institutions, online platforms and Internet users all have a role to play in reducing the impacts of misinformation.

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) has identified three pillars in combating misinformation: the importance of public institutions having transparent strategic communications; the role of online platforms as relevant players that are able to limit the spread of false claims; and, lastly, education, to equip future generations with appropriate digital and media literacy skills (OECD 2020; Goch 2020).

Nationally, the Canadian government has been involved in combating misinformation for several years, including supporting initiatives for digital citizenship education and creating healthy information ecosystems (Canadian Heritage n.d.a; Canadian Heritage n.d.b).

This article uses data from the Canadian Perspectives Survey Series (CPSS) 4: Information Sources Consulted During the Pandemic, which was conducted from July 20 to 26, 2020, among Canadians aged 15 and over living in the 10 provinces. The focus is on information found online by Canadians who used online resources to learn about COVID-19, as well as COVID-19 information sharing. In addition, the article examines the verification methods used by Canadians to check the accuracy of information found online as well as suspected information seen online about COVID-19.

Nearly all Canadians saw COVID-19 misinformation online

During the pandemic, nine in ten Canadians (90%) used online sources to find information about COVID-19. The three main sources were: online newspapers or news sites (63%), social media posts from news organizations or magazines (35%), and social media posts from other users or influencers (30%).

During the first few months of this health crisis, 96% of Canadians who used the Internet to find information saw COVID-19 information that they suspected was misleading, false or inaccurate. Among these Canadians, one-quarter (25%) saw the suspected information multiple times a day, 14% saw it once a day and 29% saw it at least once a week. Just under one-third of Canadians (28%) said they rarely saw false information, and 4% reported never having seen any.

1. The CPSS is an online panel survey series launched by Statistics Canada in April 2020 to assess how Canadians are experiencing this time of the pandemic. In most cases, the same respondents are followed over time, and the panels are statistically representative of the Canadian population.

2. Questions about exposure to misinformation reflect respondents’ perceptions of the quality of the information they have seen online. These perceptions are self-reported and Statistics Canada has not verified the accuracy of the information seen online.
In addition, regardless of gender, nearly two in five Canadians (40%) reported believing that the information they saw related to COVID-19 was true, then later realized that it was not.

Only one in five Canadians always checked the accuracy of online COVID-19 information

The survey found that many Canadians were not in a regular habit of checking the accuracy of information they found online, with only 21% reporting they always check the accuracy and 37% saying they often check. However roughly 36% of Canadians reported that they only sometimes (24%) or rarely (12%) checked the accuracy of COVID-19 information they found online, which facilitates the sharing of potentially misleading, false or inaccurate information.

The most common reason identified by the 1.5 million (6%) Canadians who never verified the accuracy of the information, was that they trusted the source (53%). Of the other reasons, 22% reported that they did not think about checking the accuracy of the information, 20% did not care about checking, 11% said they did not know how to check and 10% did not have time to check. Men and women both reported similar proportions for the reasons they didn’t fact check the information found online.

Half of Canadians shared COVID-19 information they found online without knowing whether it was accurate

During the first few months of the pandemic, just over half of all Canadians (53%) had shared COVID-19 information they found online without knowing if it was accurate (22% always, often or sometimes shared, and 31% rarely shared), while the other half (47%) never shared unverified information. Information-sharing habits did not vary by gender, but differences were observed depending on the age group and education level of respondents.

Table 1
Frequency of sharing COVID-19-related information without knowing its accuracy, by age and highest educational attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ages 15 and older</th>
<th>Total, ages 15 and older</th>
<th>21.9*</th>
<th>31.3*</th>
<th>46.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High school diploma or less</td>
<td>26.6†</td>
<td>30.3*</td>
<td>43.0†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-university postsecondary diploma</td>
<td>22.4†</td>
<td>32.2*</td>
<td>45.4†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>17.0*</td>
<td>31.2*</td>
<td>51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total, ages 15 to 54</td>
<td>20.2‡</td>
<td>29.5*‡</td>
<td>50.4‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 15 to 54</td>
<td>High school diploma or less</td>
<td>25.6*</td>
<td>27.5*</td>
<td>47.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-university postsecondary diploma</td>
<td>19.5*</td>
<td>31.3*</td>
<td>49.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>16.2*</td>
<td>29.3*</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total, ages 55 and older</td>
<td>25.3*</td>
<td>34.8*</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages 55 and older</td>
<td>High school diploma or less</td>
<td>28.5†</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>36.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-university postsecondary diploma</td>
<td>27.9†</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>18.8*</td>
<td>35.1*</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* use with caution
* significantly different from estimate for reference category (Never shared) (p < 0.05)
† significantly different from estimate for reference category (University degree) (p < 0.05)
‡ significantly different from estimate for reference category (Total, ages 55 and older) (p < 0.05)
Source: Canadian Perspectives Survey Series 4: Information Sources Consulted During the Pandemic.
Canadians 55 years of age and over (25%) were more likely than those between 15 to 54 years of age to always, often or sometimes share COVID-19 information without validating its accuracy (Table 1). In addition, Canadians 15 years of age and over with a university degree (17%) were less likely than those with a high school diploma or less (27%) or those with a non-university postsecondary diploma (22%) to always, often or sometimes share unverified information.

For respondents 55 years of age and up, the higher their education level, the less likely they were to always, often or sometimes share information if they were unsure of its accuracy. Among Canadians 15 to 54 years of age, education level did not seem to have an impact on whether they shared unverified information.

Consulting other sources was the strategy most commonly used by Canadians to verify the accuracy of information about COVID-19 found on the Internet

Canadians used various methods to check the accuracy of online COVID-19 information. The two most commonly used methods were consulting other sources (69%) and clicking on the link to read the full article (53%). Checking the date of the information came third and was used by roughly one in three Canadians (29%) (Chart 1).

The methods chosen to check the accuracy of online COVID-19 information varied by education level and age group, but didn’t seem to differ by sex.

Respondents with a higher level of education were more likely than those with a lower level to consult other sources or click the link to read the full article to fact check information. For example, 77% of Canadians with a university degree consulted other sources, compared to 68% of those with a non-university postsecondary education and 61% of those with a high school diploma or less (Table 2). The same trend was observed for clicking the link to read the full article.

Source: Statistics Canada, 2018 Canadian Community Health Survey and 2020 Canadian Perspectives Survey Series.
Furthermore, for each of the verification methods outlined in the survey, Canadians 15 to 54 years of age were more likely to use them to verify the accuracy of information found online, compared to those 55 years old and up. For instance, nearly 57% of Canadians younger than age 55 clicked on the link to read the full article, compared to 45% of those 55 years and older.

Among the other verification methods used, just under 30% of Canadians did some research to learn more about the credibility of the author or source (27%) or read the comments to see discussion on the topic or source (26%).

Table 2
Methods used to check the accuracy of COVID-19 information found on the Internet, by age and highest educational attainment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods of fact checking</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>15 to 54</th>
<th>55 and older</th>
<th>High school diploma or less</th>
<th>Non-university postsecondary diploma</th>
<th>University degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulted other sources</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>70.6*</td>
<td>64.9</td>
<td>60.5†</td>
<td>68.0†</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clicked on the link to read the full news article</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>56.6*</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>46.0†</td>
<td>51.3†</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified the date of the information</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>33.1*</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>23.8†</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researched the author or source to see credibility</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>29.8*</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>23.8†</td>
<td>29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read comments to see discussion on the topic or source</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>27.8*</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulted friends, family or online network</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>26.4*</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>18.7†</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verified URL to see credibility</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>24.9*</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>15.0†</td>
<td>20.5*</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.2†</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.3†</td>
<td>4.9†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* use with caution
† too unreliable to be published

* significantly different from estimate for reference category (55 and older) (p < 0.05)
† significantly different from estimate for reference category (University degree) (p < 0.05)

Source: Canadian Perspectives Survey Series 4: Information Sources Consulted During the Pandemic.
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