

Catalogue no. 11F0019M — No. 369  
ISSN 1205-9153  
ISBN 978-0-660-03388-4

Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series

**Social Assistance Receipt Among  
Refugee Claimants in Canada:  
Evidence from Linked Administrative  
Data Files**

by Yuqian Lu, Marc Frenette and Grant Schellenberg

Release date: October 15, 2015



Statistics  
Canada

Statistique  
Canada

Canada

---

## How to obtain more information

For information about this product or the wide range of services and data available from Statistics Canada, visit our website, [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca).

You can also contact us by

email at [infostats@canada.ca](mailto:infostats@canada.ca)

telephone, from Monday to Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., at the following toll-free numbers:

- Statistical Information Service 1-800-263-1136
- National telecommunications device for the hearing impaired 1-800-363-7629
- Fax line 1-877-287-4369

### Depository Services Program

- Inquiries line 1-800-635-7943
- Fax line 1-800-565-7757

## Standards of service to the public

Statistics Canada is committed to serving its clients in a prompt, reliable and courteous manner. To this end, Statistics Canada has developed standards of service that its employees observe. To obtain a copy of these service standards, please contact Statistics Canada toll-free at 1-800-263-1136. The service standards are also published on [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca) under “Contact us” > “Standards of service to the public.”

## Note of appreciation

Canada owes the success of its statistical system to a long-standing partnership between Statistics Canada, the citizens of Canada, its businesses, governments and other institutions. Accurate and timely statistical information could not be produced without their continued co-operation and goodwill.

## Standard table symbols

The following symbols are used in Statistics Canada publications:

- . not available for any reference period
- .. not available for a specific reference period
- ... not applicable
- 0 true zero or a value rounded to zero
- 0<sup>s</sup> value rounded to 0 (zero) where there is a meaningful distinction between true zero and the value that was rounded
- <sup>P</sup> preliminary
- <sup>r</sup> revised
- X suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*
- <sup>E</sup> use with caution
- F too unreliable to be published
- \* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.05$ )

Published by authority of the Minister responsible for Statistics Canada

© Minister of Industry, 2015

All rights reserved. Use of this publication is governed by the Statistics Canada [Open Licence Agreement](#).

**An HTML version is also available.**

*Cette publication est aussi disponible en français.*

---

# **Social Assistance Receipt Among Refugee Claimants in Canada: Evidence from Linked Administrative Data Files**

by

**Yuqian Lu, Marc Frenette, and Grant Schellenberg**  
Social Analysis and Modelling Division,  
Statistics Canada

**11F0019M No. 369**  
**ISSN 1205-9153**  
**ISBN 978-0-660-03388-4**

**October 2015**

## **Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series**

The Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series provides for the circulation, on a pre-publication basis, of research conducted by Analytical Studies Branch staff, visiting fellows, and academic associates. The Analytical Studies Branch Research Paper Series is intended to stimulate discussion on a variety of topics, including labour, business firm dynamics, pensions, agriculture, mortality, language, immigration, and statistical computing and simulation. Readers of the series are encouraged to contact the authors with their comments and suggestions.

Papers in the series are distributed to research institutes, and specialty libraries. These papers can be accessed for free at [www.statcan.gc.ca](http://www.statcan.gc.ca).

# Table of contents

Abstract .....	5
Executive summary .....	6
1 Introduction.....	7
2 Data and methodology .....	8
3 Results: Receipt of social assistance income .....	18
4 The individual characteristics of refugee claimants and social assistance receipt.....	41
5 Conclusion .....	43
6 Appendix Tables .....	44
References .....	50

## Abstract

Refugee claimants are an important part of the non-permanent resident population of Canada. Canada granted permanent residency to approximately 12,000 to 16,000 refugees every year during the latter part of the 2000s, and approximately 115,000 to 130,000 refugee claimants were residing in Canada at some point every year over that period. Despite the volume of refugee claimants, very little information on their economic characteristics has been available to date. This report draws on new linked administrative data files to provide information on the receipt of social assistance (SA) among this population. The study was successful in linking approximately three-quarters of all refugee claimants to administrative files containing information on the annual receipt of SA. Of these linked claimants, approximately 80% rely on SA shortly after opening their refugee claim. Among those whose claims were still open after four years, between 25% and 40% were collecting SA, well above the overall Canadian rate of about 8%. The variation in SA rates tends to be strongest according to province of residence and country of citizenship. Some differences exist by family type, age of oldest family member, and presence of a work permit within the family, but they are not nearly as pronounced. Between 85% and 90% of refugee claimants under the age of 19 are in a family that receives SA shortly after making a refugee claim. Overall, between \$10 billion and \$13 billion were disbursed every year to all SA recipients in Canada. Given their relatively small size as a group, the dollar amount of SA paid to refugee claimant families amounted to between 1.9% and 4.4% of that total, depending on the year and on the treatment of unlinked cases.

**Keywords:** Refugee claimants, social assistance

## Executive summary

Prior to the December 2012 reforms to the in-Canada refugee determination system, it was possible for some refugee claimants to reside in Canada for several years before the final adjudication of their claim. Their labour market activities, their receipt of publicly funded benefits, and their well-being during that period are relevant to public policy. Nonetheless, very little information on the economic characteristics of refugee claimants has been available to date.

This report begins to address this data gap. The Refugee Claimant Continuum Database from Citizenship and Immigration Canada and administrative tax files were combined into a new linked dataset capable of supporting research on refugee claimants. The focus in this report is on the receipt of social assistance (SA) among refugee claimants who initiated their claim between 1999 and 2011.

Among refugee claimants of all ages, 74% to 78% were linked to administrative tax data over the period from 2002 to 2011; linkage rates were somewhat lower between 1999 and 2001, at 67% to 71%. Alternative measures of SA receipt were estimated to assess the impact of unlinked cases.

In the year following the start of their refugee claim (i.e., in year  $t+1$ ), the estimated rate of SA receipt varied somewhat depending on the treatment of unlinked cases. The lower-bound estimate, which assumed that all unlinked cases did not receive SA was about 65%. The upper-bound estimate assumed that all unlinked cases received SA, and was about 85%. The middle estimate, which simply excluded all unlinked cases, was close to the upper-bound estimate (about 80%).

Focusing on the middle estimate, the receipt of SA in year  $t+1$  among the 2005-to-2010 claimant cohorts generally ranged between 80% and 90% across family types, with rates highest among lone mothers and couples with more than two children. Similarly, the incidence of SA receipt generally ranged from about 80% to 90% across families in which the oldest member was between 19 to 24 and 55 to 64 years of age. Across provinces, the incidence of SA receipt in year  $t+1$  was generally highest in Quebec, at over 85%, and lowest in Alberta, at under 60%.

SA receipt varied considerably across country of citizenship. Refugee claimants from countries such as Afghanistan, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Eritrea, and Somalia all had relatively high SA rates (close to or above 90%) throughout most of the study period, while rates were lower among refugee claimants from Bangladesh, Haiti, India, and Jamaica (generally below 80%).

The rates of SA receipt tended to decline sharply in the years following the start of the refugee claim. Between years  $t+1$  and  $t+2$ , rates fell by about 20 percentage points among most claimant cohorts, declining a further 15 percentage points between  $t+2$  and  $t+3$ , and 10 percentage points between  $t+3$  and  $t+4$ . By  $t+4$ , between 25% and 40% of refugee claimants received SA. However, it is important to recall that these figures pertain to the diminishing group of refugee claimants whose claims remained open up to that year. These figures are also well above the Canadian average of about 8%.

Among refugee claimant families that received SA in year  $t+1$ , the average total family income typically ranged from about \$19,000 to \$22,000, with SA benefits accounting for \$8,000 to \$11,000—or about 40% to 48%—of that total.

In aggregate terms, SA income paid to all recipients in Canada totaled \$10 billion to \$13 billion in most years. Given their relatively small size as a group, the dollar amount of SA paid to refugee claimant families amounted to between 1.9% and 4.4% of that total, depending on the year and on the treatment of unlinked cases.

# 1 Introduction

Canada granted permanent residency to approximately 12,000 to 16,000 refugees every year through the latter part of the 2000s. However, given ongoing inflows of refugee claimants and the time required to adjudicate claims, approximately 115,000 to 130,000 refugee claimants were residing in Canada at some point every year over that period.

Currently, information on the financial characteristics of refugee claimants is scarce. Two data files often used for immigration research—the Longitudinal Immigration Database (IMDB) and the Longitudinal Administrative Databank (LAD)—do not allow researchers to examine any income information for refugee claimants who have had their claims denied. This data gap is significant, considering that refugee claimants may reside in Canada for several years before the final adjudication of their claim, and considering that their labour market activities, their receipt of publicly funded benefits, and their well-being during this period are relevant to public policy.<sup>1,2,3</sup>

Statistics Canada and Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC) are working collaboratively to address this data gap. Information from both immigration-based and taxation-based data files have been combined into a new linked dataset capable of supporting analysis of the economic characteristics of refugee claimants: the Refugee Claimant Database (RCD). The first part of this report describes the methodology and the contents of this data file.

Although the RCD is capable of supporting research on many aspects of the characteristics of refugee claimants and of their experiences in Canada, the focus in this report is on the receipt of social assistance (SA) income. SA programs operated at municipal, provincial, and federal levels of government are designed to provide income to meet the costs of basic requirements for individuals or families when all other financial resources have been exhausted. Given the traumatic and disruptive events recently faced by many refugee claimants and their weak ties to the Canadian labour market, one might well expect many of them to rely on SA as a main source of income.<sup>4</sup> The extent to which this is the case is the main focus of this report.

The rest of the report consists of three sections. In Section 2, a detailed discussion of the design and construction of the analytical data file is provided. The target population, source data files, and linkage strategies and rates are discussed in detail. In Section 3, analytical results are presented, with a focus on the incidence of SA receipt among refugee claimants through the 2000s. In the final section, conclusions and directions for further analytical work are presented.

---

1. In December 2012, Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC) reformed the in-Canada refugee determination system. These reforms were implemented after the reference period for this study (i.e., 1999 to 2011), and any impacts of the reforms are not reflected in the results of this paper.

2. Schellenberg (2001) examined social assistance (SA) receipt among refugee claimants who subsequently landed under the inland determination process in the early to mid-1990s. Approximately half of all refugee claimants who eventually landed did so under this process. Among refugee claimants who landed through inland determination in 1995, 72% of their total individual income came from SA in 1992 (three years prior to landing). In the year of landing (1995), this figure had declined to 45%. Similar trends were evident among earlier landing cohorts. Because of data limitations, family receipt of SA could not be examined in that study. This is important since SA eligibility is determined at the family level. Furthermore, the study did not examine results among refugee claimants who did not subsequently land, or among refugee claimants who landed through a process other than inland determination.

3. There is also a small body of literature on SA receipt among immigrants in general. See Baker and Benjamin (1995); Crossley, McDonald, and Worswick (2001); and Ostrovsky (2012).

4. While refugees have access to short-term financial assistance from the federal government through the Resettlement Assistance Program and the Immigration Loans Program, refugee claimants do not.

## 2 Data and methodology

### Target population

The target population consists of refugee claimants. The Temporary Resident database from CIC contains information on all non-permanent residents in Canada, including refugee claimants. Specifically, refugee claimants are individuals who...

“...request refugee protection upon or after arrival in Canada. A refugee claimant receives Canada’s protection when he or she is found to be a Convention refugee as defined by the United Nations 1951 Geneva Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 protocol, or when found to be a person needing protection based on risk to life, risk of cruel and unusual treatment or punishment, or danger of torture as defined in the Convention Against Torture. A refugee claimant whose claim is accepted may make an application in Canada for permanent residence. The application may include family members in Canada and abroad.” (CIC 2013).

### Data sources

Five input data sets were used to construct the analytical file used for the analysis in this report.

**Refugee Claimant Continuum Database (RCCD):** The RCCD contains socio-demographic and administrative information on refugee claimants. Refugee claimants were identified on the basis of their “yearly primary status” (as defined by CIC). The reference period for their claim was determined using the dates on which their claim was opened and closed (if applicable). A family identification number on the RCCD facilitated identification of all members of refugee claimant families (including minor children) in the year the refugee claim was initiated.

**Linkage Control File (LCF):** The Temporary Resident database from CIC does not contain the Social Insurance Numbers (SINs) of temporary residents, and therefore, cannot be deterministically linked to taxation-based administrative files. To bridge this gap, the LCF from the Household Survey Methods Division at Statistics Canada was used (more on this below).

**T1 Family File (T1FF):** The T1FF is a census of T1 personal income tax forms, with steps taken to construct family-level information for each tax year. The T1FF family concept is similar to the census family concept (parent[s] and co-resident children). The file includes demographic, earnings and income information, including a unique field for SA income. In families with two spouses present, the one with the higher net income must report the SA income on the T1 tax return. However, most individuals in families that receive SA income can be identified using the T1FF family-level identifier. If this was not possible, the family identification number from the RCCD file was used.

**T5007 file:** The T5007 file is derived from the T5007 Statement of Benefits forms issued by the provincial, territorial or municipal social service agencies making the payments. It is a summary of worker’s compensation benefits and SA payments, or provincial or territorial supplements; for this project, only the latter is of interest. These are primarily basic SA (support payments that are not targeted at particular individuals, other than those in need) and support payments (supplements) for elderly and disabled individuals in need. Such payments are grouped together on the T5007 file, and are referred to as “social assistance” (SA) in this report. The individual who receives a T5007 form for SA reporting is the “principal claimant.” This person can be any adult member of the family, not necessarily the same person who reports family SA income on the T1 form. Because the T5007 file contains no family information, it is not possible to construct family SA income from this file. However, once the T5007 file is linked to the T1FF, the SA income reported on the T5007 file can be aggregated at the family level.



Data quality assessment by Statistics Canada indicates that total aggregate SA income calculated from the T5007 file is somewhat higher than that calculated from the T1FF, with most of the difference resulting from undercoverage of SA recipients on the T1FF. This undercoverage has little impact on findings pertaining to SA use by the general population. However, undercoverage of T5007 SA recipients on the T1FF may have a larger impact on results for refugee claimants if this population (particularly refugee claimants who do not subsequently land) are disproportionately unlikely to file a T1 tax return.

**T1 Historical (T1H) File:** The T1FF is constructed using the T1 Personal Master Files compiled by the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) 12 to 18 months after the end of each tax year. Therefore, it does not include the T1 returns of individuals who filed their taxes at a later date. The omission is relevant to this study, because refugee claimants may be more likely than the general population to file their T1 tax return late, owing to their (i) recent arrival in Canada, (ii) potential lack of knowledge of the Canadian tax system, (iii) low taxable income, and (iv) settlement challenges associated with involuntary dislocation from their country of origin. The T1 Historical Personal Master file is compiled by CRA approximately four years after the end of each tax year, and therefore, includes “late filers” as well as re-assessed T1 returns. T1H data are used to test the robustness of the main results in this paper by addressing undercoverage due to late filing.


### **Data linkage methodology and linkage rates**

Several steps were taken to create the Refugee Claimant Database (RCD). First, seven variables from the RCCD were used to probabilistically match individuals to the Linkage Control File (LCF). These variables were: first and last name, date of birth, gender, postal code, claim date and family indicator. The SINs of linked refugee claimants were then obtained from the LCF, yielding a linkage key containing both the CIC Client Identification Number and the SIN. Next, a deterministic linkage based on SIN was established between these refugee claimants and the T1FF, the T5007 file, and (to assess the impact of late filing among refugee claimants) the T1H.

Since 2002, this process yielded a successful linkage rate between the RCCD and taxation-based administrative files of about 59% to 62% (the bars showing the individual linkage rate, i.e., the dark blue bars in Chart 1). Prior to 2002, linkage rates ranged from 53% to 57%. However, it is important to note that children and youth are included in this rate even though most of them do not file tax returns or receive government forms such as the T5007 Statement of Benefits. Consequently, they cannot be directly linked.

**Chart 1**  
**Individual- and family-level linkage rates**

Proportion linked to T1FF  
 or T5007 file (percent)



**Note:** T1FF: T1 Family File.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

In studies of labour market activities, sample populations are typically restricted to working-age individuals, and the unlinked records of children and youth are not a concern. However, SA is intended to provide financial support to *all* members of recipient families and it is important to consider refugee claimants of all ages. To do so, family-level identifiers were used to capture non-filing individuals in the RCCD. Refugee claimants of all ages were first grouped into family units using the T1FF family identifier. In cases where this strategy was not successful, refugee claimants of all ages were grouped into family units using CIC’s family identification number.<sup>5</sup> Individuals identified in these ways were then coded as residing in refugee families that either did or did not receive SA. The use of family identifiers yielded linkage rates for refugee claimants of *all ages* that ranged from 74% to 78% between 2002 and 2011, and from 67% to 71% between 1999 and 2001 (the bars showing the family linkage rates, i.e., the light blue bars in Chart 1).

With the information available on the RCCD, it is not possible to identify refugee claimants who left Canada prior to the adjudication of their claim without informing CIC. These ‘departed’ refugee claimants remain on the RCCD until their claim is identified as abandoned and then closed. This will reduce the linkage rates between the TR and administrative files since departed refugee claimants would be included in the denominator of linkage rate calculations (i.e., in the claims identified as active) but not in the numerator since individuals were not present in Canada to file a T1 tax return or to receive a T4 Slip. The magnitude of this effect cannot be estimated.

5. While the T1FF family identifier is constructed and validated every tax year, the CIC family identification number on the RCCD is assigned at the start of the refugee claim and is not re-validated in subsequent years. Hence, in the years following arrival, it is possible that some refugee claimants no longer resided in the same families as they did at the start of their claim. For this reason, the CIC family identifier was only used when a linkage could not be established using the T1FF family identifier.

Refugee claimants who could not be linked into the analytical file differed in systematic ways from those who were linked. Table 1 shows the mean family characteristics (in year  $t+1$ ) associated with being linked or unlinked. The focus is on family-level characteristics in this table and throughout most of the report, as SA eligibility is determined at the family level. Note that all characteristics are taken from the RCCD in the year the refugee claim was initially made (i.e., in year  $t$ ). The one exception is province of residence, given the possibility that refugee claimants may be highly mobile after arriving in Canada. Province of residence in year  $t+1$  is obtained from the T1FF, and if that information is not available, from the T5007 file. In unlinked cases, the province of residence is taken from the RCCD in year  $t$ .

The likelihood of remaining unlinked in the RCD was highest among refugee claimants who were single individuals, residing in ‘young’ families, and who resided in families in which no one received a work permit. More specifically, refugee claimants residing as single individuals accounted for 54% of all linked observations, but for 76% of all unlinked observations. Unlinked individuals were also more likely to be in a family whose oldest member was under 19 years of age (12%), while this was the case for less than 1% of linked individuals.<sup>6</sup> And while refugee claimants residing in a family in which no one held a work permit accounted for 7% of linked cases, they accounted for 54% of unlinked cases. The geographic distribution of linked and unlinked cases was roughly the same, with only small differences existing between the two. The same can be said about the distribution of country of citizenship and the year in question.

The differences that exist in family type, age of oldest family member, and the presence of a work permit in the family may matter for SA receipt (to the extent that these factors are correlated with SA). For this reason, the treatment of unlinked cases in the analysis is of critical importance—a topic that is addressed next.

---

6. Of the unlinked individuals who were under the age of 19, 29% were between the ages of 16 and 18. Whether or not these individuals were living as unattached individuals cannot be determined. Almost one-half (46%) of the unlinked individuals under the age of 19 were children under 12 years of age.

**Table 1-1**  
**Means of family characteristics by linkage status (year  $t+1$ ) — Part 1**

	Linked	Unlinked
	percent	
<b>Family type</b>		
Single male	35.6	50.1
Single female	18.5	25.6
Lone father	1.9	1.4
Lone mother	15.0	9.3
Couple, no child	6.2	4.4
Couple, one child	7.2	3.0
Couple, two children	8.8	3.0
Couple, more than two children	5.8	1.8
Other family type	1.1	1.3
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>		
0 to 18 years old	0.6	11.9
19 to 24 years old	11.1	17.6
25 to 34 years old	35.6	33.6
35 to 44 years old	32.2	20.8
45 to 54 years old	14.4	8.9
55 to 64 years old	3.9	3.4
65 years old or above	2.2	3.8
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>		
Yes	92.6	46.2
No	7.4	53.8
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>		
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.5	0.1
Prince Edward Island	x	x
Nova Scotia	0.2	0.3
New Brunswick	0.0	0.1
Quebec	27.6	23.4
Ontario	62.1	63.0
Manitoba	0.4	0.5
Saskatchewan	0.2	0.1
Alberta	3.7	2.2
British Columbia	4.9	10.3
Yukon	0.0	0.0
Northwest Territories	x	x
Unknown	0.2	0.0
<b>Country of citizenship</b>		
Afghanistan	1.1	0.8
Bangladesh	0.9	0.5
People's Republic of China	6.0	7.8
Colombia	7.5	2.1
Democratic Republic of Congo	2.0	1.1
People's Republic of the Congo	0.2	0.1
Cuba	0.6	0.6
Egypt	0.4	0.3

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 1-2**  
**Means of family characteristics by linkage status (year  $t+1$ ) — Part 2**

	Linked	Unlinked
	percent	
<b>Country of citizenship (continued)</b>		
Eritrea	0.5	0.3
Haiti	4.2	1.1
Hungary	2.9	5.4
India	2.8	4.5
Iran	1.4	1.1
Iraq	0.8	0.7
Jamaica	0.6	1.0
Nigeria	2.6	1.6
Pakistan	5.8	5.0
Slovak Republic	0.2	0.3
Somalia	1.4	2.0
Sri Lanka	5.5	2.3
Syria	0.3	0.2
Other countries	52.5	61.1
<b>Refugee claim cohort</b>		
1999	7.7	10.1
2000	10.0	11.0
2001	12.3	11.7
2002	8.9	10.6
2003	8.5	10.2
2004	7.0	7.0
2005	5.3	5.5
2006	6.4	5.6
2007	8.2	6.0
2008	10.6	7.9
2009	8.9	8.3
2010	22.3	20.6

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

### Defining social assistance and its incidence of receipt

For the years during which their refugee claim was ongoing, refugee claimants were identified as being in receipt of SA income if (i) they or anyone in their family reported SA income on a T1 Personal Tax Return and/or (ii) they or anyone in their family were issued a T5007 form indicating receipt of income from SA or provincial supplements.

Refugee claimants who remain unlinked cannot be ascribed a value of SA income. Given that approximately one-quarter of refugee claimants are unlinked, the treatment of these unlinked cases has a significant impact on the estimation of SA receipt among refugee claimants. To provide a clear assessment of this impact, alternative measures of SA receipt are provided:

**Lower-bound estimate:** *All* refugee claimants (i.e., linked *and* unlinked) are included in the denominator of the SA receipt calculation, but only linked refugee claimants who received SA are included in the numerator. In short, it is assumed that *none* of the unlinked refugee claimants received SA.

**Upper-bound estimate:** *All* refugee claimants (i.e., linked *and* unlinked) are included in the denominator of the SA receipt calculation, and both linked refugee claimants who received SA and unlinked refugee claimants are included in the numerator. In short, it is assumed that *all* unlinked refugee claimants received SA.

**Middle estimate:** Only linked refugee claimants are included in the SA receipt calculation; unlinked cases are excluded from both the numerator and denominator. In short, SA receipt is calculated only for refugee claimants for whom information is available.

While estimates of SA rates will be documented using all three definitions, subsequent analysis of the covariates associated with SA receipt will mainly be documented using the middle estimate. This approach is agnostic in nature since the results pertain only to cases that are linked and no assumptions are made regarding the SA receipt of unlinked cases. However, some may assume, *de facto*, that the receipt of SA observed among linked cases is identical to that among unlinked cases. This may not be the case if (i) the composition of refugee claimants who are linked is different than the composition of refugee claimants who remain unlinked—a fact documented in Table 1—and (ii) if the likelihood of SA receipt among unlinked cases is different than that of linked cases conditional on having similar characteristics.

To probe this issue further, the following steps were taken. First, a regression model was run in which an SA dummy variable was regressed on the family characteristics identified in Table 1 for linked cases only. It was then assumed that the statistical relationships between SA receipt and these variables were the same for linked and unlinked cases. The regression coefficients were then used to predict SA receipt among the full population of refugee claimants—including both linked and unlinked observations. Despite the compositional differences between linked and unlinked cases, this exercise yielded predicted SA rates very similar to those observed among the linked population alone (i.e., the middle estimate defined above).<sup>7</sup> This is because some of the characteristics disproportionately found among unlinked cases were associated with a lower probability of receiving SA (e.g., being in a young family), while other characteristics disproportionately found among unlinked cases were associated with a higher probability of receiving SA (e.g., being in a family with no work permit).<sup>8</sup> Such factors offset each other, yielding little difference between the predicted rate among the total population and the observed rate among the linked sample.

This assessment suggests that the compositional differences between linked and unlinked cases are not likely to lead to large differences in *overall* SA rates between the two groups. Thus, under the assumption that the relationships between SA receipt and the family characteristics identified in Table 1 hold for both linked and unlinked cases, the middle estimate likely serves as a reasonable proxy for SA receipt among all refugee claimants. That being said, differences in linkage rates across socio-demographic characteristics mean that estimates of SA receipt for specific groups of refugee claimants (e.g., young families, families without a work permit) will be more sensitive to how unlinked cases are treated, yielding greater variability in estimates of SA rates for subgroups. This is addressed in more detail below.

### **Claim cohorts, years since claim, and the duration of refugee claims**

The analysis presented below is predominantly annual in nature. While specific start and termination dates are available for each refugee claim on the RCCD, taxation-based administrative data are annual. Hence, while it is possible to determine whether or not a refugee claimant resided in a family that received SA income in a given year, it cannot be determined in which months of that year the income was received.

---

7. Similar results were found when marginal probability effects from logit and probit models were used.

8. More specifically, refugee claimants residing in families in which the older member was 19 to 24 years of age were overrepresented among unlinked cases (see Table 1). Furthermore, the correlation between this characteristic and SA receipt was quite large. In fact, its coefficient in Table 5 (which is shown later and summarizes the relationship between SA receipt and various characteristics of refugee claimants) is larger and significantly different than those of all other family types whose oldest member is below the age of 55. Conversely, refugee claimants residing in families with no work permit were overrepresented among unlinked cases (see Table 1), and the correlation between holding a work permit and the receipt of SA was negative and significant (its coefficient in Table 5 was -0.063), compared to the reference category of families with no members holding a work permit).

Similarly, refugee claims are largely treated as annual data. The start date of each claim is used to identify the year in which the refugee claimant arrived, and the termination date of the claim (if applicable), is used to identify the year in which the claim was closed. An individual whose refugee claim was initiated in September 2007 and closed in February 2009 would be identified as a refugee claimant in 2007, 2008, and 2009. The individual would be out-of-scope in 2010 on the grounds that he or she were no longer a refugee claimant. Information on the status of refugee claims is not available during the intervening years. The term “open claims” is used in this report to refer to claims present on the file, although in some cases an Immigrant Refugee Board decision may have already been made on these claims.

The study includes refugee claimants whose claims were initiated between 1999 and 2011. ‘Claim cohorts’ are defined in terms of the first year of the refugee claim (i.e., the 1999 arrival cohort). In addition, the first year of the refugee claim is identified as year ‘t’, while subsequent years after arrival are identified as years ‘t+1’, ‘t+2’, and so on.

It is important to note that the size of each refugee claimant cohort declines over time as claims are adjudicated and closed. As shown in Table 2, for example, 28,322 refugee claims were started in 2007 and the vast majority of these were still active in t+1 and, to a lesser extent, in t+2. But by t+4 most of these refugee claims had been adjudicated and closed, with 11,598 claims still active. One implication is that the incidence of SA receipt over time is calculated for a diminishing number of refugee claimants. This proportion varies across cohorts, with about 26% of refugee claimants in the 2004 and 2005 cohorts still present in t+4 compared with just over 40% of refugee claimants in the 2001 and 2007 arrival cohorts. Given this variability, much of the analytical focus is on SA receipt in t+1 when most refugee claims were still active.<sup>9</sup> Some analyses look at later years, but these are most relevant after adjusting for differences in the socio-economic characteristics of refugee claimants with claims ongoing after several years.

**Table 2**  
**Number of refugee claimants whose claim was still active in year specified**

Refugee claim cohort	Years since refugee claim was initiated				
	0	1	2	3	4
	number				
1999	29,582	28,608	23,662	13,020	8,797
2000	36,684	35,524	31,187	20,065	13,997
2001	43,918	42,549	39,496	27,715	18,276
2002	33,023	32,234	30,141	20,288	12,533
2003	31,679	30,821	28,243	16,364	10,217
2004	25,353	24,527	21,316	11,169	6,700
2005	19,591	18,704	15,440	7,963	5,108
2006	22,763	21,700	18,439	11,537	7,332
2007	28,322	27,039	24,831	17,725	11,598
2008	36,641	34,998	31,931	22,706	..
2009	32,911	30,538	27,107	..	..
2010	22,962	21,527	..	..	..
2011	25,141	..	..	..	..

.. not available for a specific reference period

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

9. Analysis of the receipt of SA in year *t* is not optimal, given that many refugee claimants arrive late in the calendar year and have not had enough time to apply for and receive benefits.

## **Compositional characteristics of refugee claimants in the analytical file**

Refugee claimant families come to Canada with many different characteristics and these may be associated with SA receipt. These were shown in Table 1 for linked cases across all years of analysis; however, the goal of that table was to highlight differences between linked and unlinked cases. In Table 3, mean family characteristics of all linked cases are shown across cohorts in year 't+1'. Depending on the cohort, between 47% and 62% of refugee claimants are single (unattached) individuals. In most years, about 15% of refugee claimants reside in lone-mother families and about 2% reside in lone-father families. About 11% to 18% of refugee claimants reside in families characterized by couples with two or more children. In most years, about two-thirds of refugee claimants reside in a family where the oldest member is aged 25 to 44, and over 80% reside in a family where the oldest member is aged 25 to 54. More than 80% of refugee claimants reside in a family with at least one member holding a work permit, with this share above 95% beginning with the 2003 claim cohort.



**Table 3-1**  
**Family characteristics of sample in year *t*+1 by refugee claim cohort — Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort											
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
	percent											
<b>Family type</b>												
Single male	41.8	40.3	37.9	40.0	34.9	33.4	35.0	34.8	31.0	30.9	31.0	34.9
Single female	16.6	17.9	18.4	22.3	18.5	18.6	21.0	21.2	17.7	16.4	16.7	18.7
Lone father	2.5	2.1	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.6	2.1	1.9	2.3	1.8
Lone mother	15.4	15.1	14.9	11.2	11.5	13.0	14.9	15.4	19.1	17.8	15.1	15.5
Couple, no child	5.4	5.9	6.5	5.1	6.4	6.7	6.6	6.6	5.8	6.4	6.8	6.5
Couple, one child	6.2	5.5	6.5	6.6	7.7	8.5	6.4	6.5	7.4	8.4	9.1	7.5
Couple, two children	5.9	6.8	7.2	7.4	10.7	11.6	9.2	8.2	10.2	10.4	10.4	8.7
Couple, more than two children	4.8	5.3	5.4	5.0	7.5	4.9	4.3	4.9	5.7	6.9	7.6	5.6
Other family type	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.8
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>												
0 to 18 years old	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
19 to 24 years old	12.1	12.0	13.0	13.1	10.9	10.5	11.1	10.8	9.3	9.0	9.8	10.3
25 to 34 years old	39.3	38.0	38.2	36.5	34.5	33.7	36.9	35.2	33.3	33.2	34.3	33.3
35 to 44 years old	30.2	31.1	29.9	30.1	32.5	33.6	30.3	31.7	35.2	35.4	33.3	33.2
45 to 54 years old	11.6	12.3	12.2	13.7	15.7	16.1	14.8	14.8	15.8	15.9	15.5	15.3
55 to 64 years old	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.4	4.6	4.9
65 years old or above	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.1	1.6	1.6	2.2	2.6	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.6
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>												
Yes	84.5	82.6	83.6	87.9	95.3	98.2	97.5	97.8	98.4	98.5	98.7	98.2
No	15.5	17.4	16.4	12.1	4.7	1.8	2.5	2.2	1.6	1.5	1.3	1.8
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>												
Newfoundland and Labrador	6.2	x	x	0.1	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	x	0.2	x	x	0.1	x	0.2
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Quebec	29.8	26.8	26.8	27.7	22.0	23.8	24.4	30.0	34.3	34.8	26.9	20.2
Ontario	52.6	63.9	64.6	64.8	70.3	68.6	65.9	60.2	54.9	53.6	61.5	67.0
Manitoba	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.5
Saskatchewan	0.4	0.2	x	x	x	x	x	x	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5
Alberta	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.4	2.4	3.2	4.7	4.9	6.4	5.4	4.8	5.8
British Columbia	7.6	6.2	5.4	3.8	3.8	3.4	4.1	4.0	3.7	5.1	5.7	5.5
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Unknown	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
<b>Country of citizenship</b>												
Afghanistan	2.2	1.5	1.0	0.2	0.5	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.7
Bangladesh	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	2.6	1.3	1.0	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.6
People's Republic of China	6.1	5.5	6.2	6.1	5.2	6.8	8.7	7.3	5.1	4.2	5.0	7.7
Colombia	2.5	4.0	4.4	9.3	7.9	17.6	6.5	6.6	10.2	9.3	7.8	6.4
Democratic Republic of Congo	3.6	2.9	3.1	1.9	1.5	1.6	1.7	2.1	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.4
People's Republic of the Congo	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	x	x	x	0.1	0.2	x	x
Cuba	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.7	0.6
Egypt	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.6

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:** *t*+1: one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 3-2**  
**Family characteristics of sample in year  $t+1$  by refugee claim cohort — Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort												
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Country of citizenship (continued)</b>													
Eritrea	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Haiti	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	2.2	3.8	13.7	13.9	5.4	5.0	
Hungary	3.6	5.3	7.0	0.7	0.2	x	x	x	x	0.6	6.6	8.1	
India	4.8	3.4	2.7	2.9	3.2	3.9	3.6	3.0	1.8	1.5	1.6	2.4	
Iran	3.1	2.3	1.6	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.8	1.1	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.5	
Iraq	1.3	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	
Jamaica	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.2	
Nigeria	2.0	2.6	1.7	2.2	2.3	2.5	3.3	3.3	2.9	2.2	2.7	4.3	
Pakistan	8.7	9.1	7.8	11.4	13.5	3.6	3.4	2.6	1.2	1.0	1.3	2.5	
Slovak Republic	x	0.2	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0.1	0.9	0.9	
Somalia	1.6	2.2	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.7	1.2	1.4	1.5	
Sri Lanka	10.0	8.6	7.2	5.4	4.6	5.0	4.8	4.2	3.0	3.0	2.9	6.2	
Syria	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	x	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.6	
Other countries	45.8	47.8	50.9	53.1	52.3	49.8	56.7	60.1	55.0	56.3	57.3	45.2	

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

Between 82% and 93% of refugee claimants reside in Ontario or Quebec. Of that group, most are in Ontario. For example, among the 2010 cohort of refugee claimants, 67% lived in Ontario in year  $t+1$ , while 20% lived in Quebec. The next most common provinces were British Columbia (accounting for 3% to 8%) and Alberta (accounting for 2% to 6%). Taken as a whole, the other provinces and territories usually account for about 2% of all refugee claimants.

Refugee claimants also come from various countries. The 21 countries of citizenship listed in Table 3 collectively account for roughly half of all refugee claimants. Throughout most of the period, the most common countries included the People's Republic of China, Colombia, Sri Lanka, and (in more recent years) Haiti and Hungary.


### 3 Results: Receipt of social assistance income

The incidence of SA receipt among refugee claimants in year  $t+1$  are shown in Chart 2 using the three methods discussed above for dealing with unlinked cases. Overall, the exclusion of unlinked cases yields estimates that are closer to the upper bound estimates.<sup>10</sup> Also, in all cases, SA receipt was lowest among the 2002 and 2003 refugee claimant cohorts, and highest among cohorts who initiated their claim in 2007, 2008, or 2009 (and thus, may have been affected by the economic downturn that started in late 2008). Specifically, the lower bound estimates—which assume that all unlinked refugee claimants *did not* receive SA—were somewhat lower among the 2002 and 2003 refugee claimant cohorts (59% and 55%, respectively) and somewhat higher among the 2007 and 2008 cohorts (at 71% and 70%, respectively). The upper bound estimates—which assume that all unlinked cases *did* receive SA—were again somewhat lower among the 2003 cohort (79%) compared to latter cohorts (e.g., 86% among the 2007 cohort). If unlinked cases are simply dropped from the calculation (i.e., the middle estimates), the incidence of SA receipt was again lower among the 2003 cohort (72%) compared to latter cohorts (e.g., 84% among the 2007 and 2008 cohorts).

10. Adding data from the T1H (i.e., from late filers) had very little impact on these estimates—usually less than 1 percentage point.

**Chart 2**  
**Estimated rates of social assistance receipt in year  $t+1$  by refugee claim cohort**

social assistance rate  
 (percent)



**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

### Characteristics associated with the likelihood of refugee claimants receiving social assistance

The family characteristics shown in Table 3 may be associated with SA receipt. To begin examining this relationship, the SA rates in year  $t+1$  are calculated for individuals by the family-level characteristics in Table 4. Selected results are shown graphically in Charts 3 to 7. These results are based on the middle estimates, with unlinked cases excluded from estimates of SA receipt. The total sample counts are shown in Appendix Table 1. The final column in Table 4 shows weighted average SA rates at  $t+1$  for the six claimant cohorts that started in the years 2005 to 2010 and is provided as a summary measure.

The results show that the incidence of SA receipt varies to some degree across each of the family characteristics. Specifically, SA rates are generally lowest among single individuals (and to a lesser extent, childless couples) and generally highest among lone mothers and couples with more than two children. However, the magnitude of the difference across family types is not very large, with a difference of about 15 percentage points in most years across family types with the lowest and highest rates.

**Table 4-1**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$  by family characteristic and refugee claim cohort**  
**— Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort												Weighted average 2005 to 2010
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Family type</b>													
Single male	77.8	78.2	78.9	73.7	67.9	71.6	72.5	75.5	76.3	76.9	75.4	72.0	75.4
Single female	79.5	77.5	80.1	76.1	68.9	74.9	76.2	81.3	81.6	81.5	80.7	79.1	80.9
Lone father	86.0	90.6	85.8	77.0	66.9	81.6	80.8	83.7	89.4	87.7	88.4	73.4	85.8
Lone mother	89.2	90.2	89.4	84.7	82.6	86.1	84.7	85.5	92.9	91.4	89.5	88.5	90.1
Couple, no child	84.0	79.4	81.3	72.6	70.1	79.9	74.3	76.6	80.9	82.8	82.7	77.2	80.6
Couple, one child	82.8	84.7	81.8	78.1	72.5	82.2	80.5	81.0	89.2	85.4	87.8	83.9	85.9
Couple, two children	83.5	82.6	85.3	81.8	77.0	84.4	82.8	80.6	88.7	88.9	88.1	83.4	86.8
Couple, more than two children	92.7	92.5	90.9	88.6	81.8	88.3	92.0	86.2	89.9	90.2	92.0	89.7	90.1
Other family type	76.1	76.6	77.6	67.8	66.5	70.1	75.3	73.1	78.5	76.8	79.6	70.1	76.5
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>													
0 to 18 years old	84.3	77.9	80.7	69.2	71.1	74.5	74.3	70.9	78.9	80.0	78.6	72.9	76.4
19 to 24 years old	80.7	81.0	82.9	75.9	70.3	73.9	74.9	78.6	80.8	82.3	82.7	79.4	81.0
25 to 34 years old	79.6	80.6	81.2	75.1	69.9	75.5	76.5	79.3	82.3	83.6	81.9	76.2	81.1
35 to 44 years old	83.0	82.2	82.7	77.6	73.2	80.1	79.6	79.6	85.9	83.9	82.4	80.5	82.9
45 to 54 years old	80.6	79.9	80.2	78.7	74.4	78.6	75.4	79.7	83.2	83.3	83.8	78.0	82.1
55 to 64 years old	90.2	86.5	86.3	83.5	73.7	84.4	81.8	82.9	86.7	82.8	87.1	84.8	84.9
65 years old or above	97.2	96.4	96.0	90.5	89.1	93.4	93.9	87.6	93.7	92.1	95.0	93.2	92.4
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>													
Yes	79.9	79.7	80.7	75.6	71.8	78.0	77.6	79.7	84.0	83.7	82.9	79.0	82.2
No	91.6	90.7	90.1	87.1	79.9	76.5	79.1	81.1	84.3	83.8	85.6	84.3	83.7
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>													
Newfoundland and Labrador	86.1	x	x	86.7	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	35.5
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	79.4	63.5	76.8	73.9	59.1	x	64.5	x	x	53.3	x	69.7	58.5
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	67.9
Quebec	89.7	90.1	91.4	90.7	88.9	88.8	87.6	88.8	89.0	87.9	84.6	79.5	86.8
Ontario	79.2	80.2	80.1	72.8	68.5	76.9	76.5	79.7	85.3	85.3	86.5	83.9	84.4
Manitoba	70.0	70.3	71.2	66.2	63.4	59.6	59.4	48.9	43.6	61.5	75.0	63.6	61.3
Saskatchewan	94.1	64.4	x	x	x	x	x	x	40.6	68.5	61.7	68.4	61.8
Alberta	48.9	46.3	56.3	56.0	50.7	55.0	61.3	51.5	66.9	58.5	51.6	48.0	56.5
British Columbia	77.0	75.8	77.4	69.4	64.9	55.8	61.8	54.2	56.2	70.2	67.6	57.1	62.9
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	94.6
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Unknown	30.0	11.8	25.4	23.5	19.7	23.5	x	7.1	25.0	10.0	15.8	20.0	15.3
<b>Country of citizenship</b>													
Afghanistan	91.0	90.9	95.3	91.8	94.5	83.5	91.2	88.9	90.3	89.5	89.8	91.3	90.0
Bangladesh	81.5	84.5	81.6	76.7	74.7	81.6	66.0	69.1	59.7	77.0	65.2	67.0	67.8
People's Republic of China	78.5	81.9	85.8	84.8	81.0	75.2	84.5	89.2	87.7	88.7	87.9	90.1	88.7
Colombia	75.3	80.5	88.2	87.8	87.7	91.5	88.4	86.8	92.0	90.2	92.8	89.2	90.6
Democratic Republic of Congo	93.8	93.5	92.6	91.8	89.5	89.3	80.7	87.8	83.2	87.7	89.9	82.5	86.4

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 4-2**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$  by family characteristic and refugee claim cohort**  
**— Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort											Weighted average 2005 to 2010	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		2010
	percent												
<b>Country of citizenship (continued)</b>													
People's Republic of the Congo	88.6	93.1	95.6	95.8	73.3	x	x	x	80.0	87.8	x	x	82.1
Cuba	73.5	80.5	77.2	74.3	60.8	67.5	73.3	76.7	78.1	83.4	78.9	74.3	78.5
Egypt	87.7	86.6	81.6	75.3	75.4	76.2	73.3	69.4	89.2	55.3	87.9	78.9	76.6
Eritrea	96.8	97.7	96.5	83.3	81.6	94.4	89.8	89.5	90.4	92.5	84.4	88.1	89.0
Haiti	69.3	68.2	80.9	68.2	67.3	69.1	79.1	74.8	84.0	79.6	66.2	68.8	78.0
Hungary	90.4	88.2	84.4	52.7	35.1	x	x	x	x	92.9	97.3	96.3	96.1
India	77.4	77.2	77.7	72.6	73.8	67.1	67.1	68.2	69.0	59.8	68.8	64.0	66.0
Iran	87.2	86.4	86.8	78.9	74.4	79.3	83.0	77.4	81.8	82.6	82.1	83.3	81.6
Iraq	90.2	83.6	91.9	87.8	87.8	72.3	75.6	80.0	78.4	78.7	87.9	80.2	80.7
Jamaica	25.9	16.9	24.8	15.6	25.9	28.9	29.7	28.2	41.5	42.9	44.3	40.2	40.5
Nigeria	84.1	83.7	86.1	85.4	86.3	81.7	80.6	83.8	86.9	87.7	89.0	87.9	87.2
Pakistan	84.1	86.2	89.4	89.0	68.8	68.7	75.1	76.4	73.7	72.4	72.9	73.4	74.0
Slovak Republic	x	68.6	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	83.8	97.3	93.3	94.3
Somalia	95.3	96.6	96.8	98.4	93.4	86.3	87.5	86.8	78.8	92.7	92.2	89.9	89.4
Sri Lanka	85.2	86.0	87.0	82.1	78.3	81.4	82.1	81.4	82.3	82.7	86.3	86.3	84.0
Syria	87.0	76.8	75.8	70.8	71.0	72.7	69.8	x	62.3	47.8	82.4	84.7	72.8
Other countries	79.2	77.9	78.1	71.0	68.2	74.7	75.7	78.6	83.4	84.0	81.2	72.8	80.9

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.






Similarly, the variation in SA rates across the age of the oldest family member is quite modest. As shown in Chart 4, the incidence of SA receipt typically varies by less than 5 percentage points between refugee claimants in families whose oldest member is aged 19 to 24 and those in families whose oldest member is aged 45 to 54. The incidence of SA receipt is slightly higher in families whose oldest member is aged 55 or older, but, as noted above, only about 5% of all refugee claimants reside in such families.

Holding a work permit may be expected to be negatively associated with SA receipt since individuals have the option of working. This was certainly the case for the 1999 to 2003 claim cohorts as the incidence of SA receipt among refugee families with a least one member holding a work permit was 8 to 12 percentage points lower than among refugee families in which no one held a work permit. For the 2010 claim cohort, the difference between these groups was 5 percentage points. However, for the 2005 to 2010 cohorts, SA rates were generally about the same for both groups. Over the entire period, SA rates were never below 72% among families with at least one member holding a work permit.

As noted earlier, most refugee claimants reside in one of four provinces: Quebec, Ontario, Alberta, and British Columbia. Of these four, SA rates are highest in Quebec, where between 79% and 91% of refugee claimants receive SA in year  $t+1$ . In contrast, generally between one-half and two-thirds of refugee claimants residing in Alberta and between one-half and three-quarters of refugee claimants residing in British Columbia collected SA. Among cohorts arriving before 2007, SA rates were at least 9 percentage points lower in Ontario than in Quebec. Since then, the rates have been quite close in both provinces and, in fact, rates were slightly higher in Ontario than in Quebec among the 2009 and 2010 cohorts.

The country of citizenship of refugee claimants is also strongly associated with SA receipt. While there are variations over time, some trends hold throughout most of the study period. Individuals from countries such as Afghanistan, Colombia, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Eritrea, and Somalia all have relatively high SA rates (close to or above 90%) throughout most of the study period. At the opposite end of the spectrum, SA receipt is relatively low throughout the period for refugee claimants from Bangladesh, Haiti, India, and Jamaica. The rates are particularly low among Jamaican refugee claimants who arrived before 2007, never surpassing 30%. Since then, rates have remained slightly above 40%, which is still the lowest among the countries examined here and only about half of the overall average.

**Chart 3**  
**Social assistance rates in year t+1, by family type and refugee claim cohort**




**Note:** t+1: one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Chart 4**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by age of oldest family member and refugee claim cohort**


social assistance rate  
 (percent)



**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.  
**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Chart 5**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by work permit held by family member and refugee claim cohort**

social assistance rate  
 (percent)




**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.  
**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.



**Chart 6**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by selected province of residence and refugee claim cohort**





social assistance rate  
 (percent)



**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database

**Chart 7**  
**Social assistance rates in year t+1, by selected country of citizenship and refugee claim cohort**



**Note:** t+1: one year since start of refugee claim. Results for Hungary are suppressed in 2004 and 2006 to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*.  
**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

In summary, the variation in SA rates tends to be strongest across the province of residence and the country of citizenship. Some differences exist by family type, age of oldest family member, and presence of a work permit within the family, but it is nowhere nearly as pronounced as what is registered by province of residence and country of citizenship.

The patterns revealed by Table 4 are largely confirmed by regression analysis. In Table 5, results from a linear probability model (ordinary least squares) of SA use are shown, where the covariates include all of the socio-economic factors appearing in Table 4.<sup>11,12</sup> In general, the magnitude of the differences tends to be somewhat different once the socio-economic characteristics are taken into account, but the trends are still qualitatively the same. The magnitude is different because many of these characteristics are correlated, and only through a multivariate approach such as regression analysis can the separate (independent) relationships between SA use and each factor be determined.

The predicted difference in SA use between the family type with the highest SA rate (couples with more than two children) and the lowest SA rate (single men) is 15.2 percentage points. The model also suggests that older families (those with someone aged 55 to 64) are more likely to receive SA than younger families. Predicted SA rates are about 6 percentage points lower among families where a work permit is held by a member.

The regression results also suggest substantial differences across the main provinces of residence. Compared to Ontario, predicted SA rates are 13 percentage points higher in Quebec, and about 10 and 22 percentage points lower in British Columbia and Alberta, respectively. The difference between the highest (Quebec) and lowest (Alberta) predicted SA rate is 35 percentage points.

Important differences by country of citizenship are also registered. The reference category is the People's Republic of China, which has a relatively high rate of SA use. In fact, only refugee claimants from Eritrea and Somalia have higher predicted SA rates. The lowest predicted SA rates are among refugee claimants from Jamaica, followed by refugee claimants from Haiti, India, and Syria. The predicted SA rate among refugee claimants from Jamaica is once again far below all others (53 percentage points below refugee claimants from the People's Republic of China).

Thus, the regression analysis confirms the finding in Table 4 that the variation in SA rates is larger across the province of residence and the country of citizenship compared to other family characteristics such as the family type, the age of the oldest member, and whether or not a family member holds a work permit.

The incidence of SA receipt was lowest among the 2003 refugee claim cohort (the reference category) as all other cohort coefficients are positive and significant, and highest among the 2007, 2008, and 2009 cohorts—all of whom arrived in Canada around the time of the economic downturn. According to LAD data, SA rates among the general population only rose marginally during the economic downturn—going from 7.4% in 2007, to 7.7% in 2009.

---

11. Similar results were found when marginal probability effects from logit and probit models were estimated.

12. Since it is the entire family that receives SA, standard errors are clustered at the family level. However, individuals are still counted in the analysis. In other words, larger families account for a larger share of the results than smaller families, since the former have more members.

**Table 5-1**  
**Ordinary least squares regression of social assistance receipt in year  $t+1$  on family characteristics — Part 1**

	Regression results	
	coefficient	standard error
<b>Family type (reference: single male)</b>		
Single female	0.020 ***	0.002
Lone father	0.096 ***	0.005
Lone mother	0.125 ***	0.002
Couple, no child	0.016 ***	0.003
Couple, one child	0.077 ***	0.003
Couple, two children	0.100 ***	0.003
Couple, more than two children	0.152 ***	0.003
Other family type	-0.009	0.008
<b>Age of oldest family member (reference: 0 to 18 years old)</b>		
19 to 24 years old	0.040 ***	0.010
25 to 34 years old	0.024 *	0.010
35 to 44 years old	0.015	0.010
45 to 54 years old	0.010	0.010
55 to 64 years old	0.068 ***	0.010
65 years old or above	0.138 ***	0.010
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>		
Yes	-0.063 ***	0.003
<b>Province or territory of residence (reference: Ontario)</b>		
Newfoundland and Labrador	0.000	0.010
Prince Edward Island	-0.410 ***	0.105
Nova Scotia	-0.093 ***	0.023
New Brunswick	-0.150 ***	0.039
Quebec	0.126 ***	0.002
Manitoba	-0.126 ***	0.014
Saskatchewan	-0.096 ***	0.021
Alberta	-0.222 ***	0.005
British Columbia	-0.105 ***	0.004
Yukon	0.010	0.046
Northwest Territories	-0.286 ***	0.073
Unknown	-0.538 ***	0.016
<b>Country of citizenship (reference: People's Republic of China)</b>		
Afghanistan	-0.009	0.006
Bangladesh	-0.131 ***	0.009
Colombia	-0.011 **	0.004
Democratic Republic of Congo	-0.036 ***	0.005
People's Republic of the Congo	-0.055 ***	0.015
Cuba	-0.114 ***	0.010
Egypt	-0.120 ***	0.013
Eritrea	0.029 ***	0.008
Haiti	-0.213 ***	0.005
Hungary	-0.017 ***	0.005

\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.05$ )

\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.01$ )

\*\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.001$ )

**Note:** Cells based on fewer than 30 observations have been suppressed.  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim. Number of observations: 277,345.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 5-2****Ordinary least squares regression of social assistance receipt in year  $t+1$  on family characteristics — Part 2**

	Regression results	
	coefficient	standard error
<b>Country of citizenship (reference: People's Republic of China)</b>		
<b>(continued)</b>		
India	-0.193 ***	0.006
Iran	-0.037 ***	0.007
Iraq	-0.058 ***	0.008
Jamaica	-0.529 ***	0.012
Nigeria	-0.014 **	0.005
Pakistan	-0.088 ***	0.004
Slovak Republic	-0.065 ***	0.015
Somalia	0.023 ***	0.005
Sri Lanka	-0.050 ***	0.004
Syria	-0.164 ***	0.016
Other countries	-0.135 ***	0.003
<b>Refugee claim cohort (reference: 2003)</b>		
1999	0.076 ***	0.004
2000	0.072 ***	0.004
2001	0.079 ***	0.003
2002	0.039 ***	0.004
2004	0.047 ***	0.004
2005	0.057 ***	0.004
2006	0.074 ***	0.004
2007	0.116 ***	0.004
2008	0.114 ***	0.004
2009	0.103 ***	0.004
2010	0.071 ***	0.004
Intercept	0.798 ***	0.011

\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.05$ )

\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.01$ )

\*\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.001$ )

**Note:** Cells based on fewer than 30 observations have been suppressed.  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim. Number of observations: 277,345.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

### Receipt of social assistance across socio-demographic characteristics—sensitivity to treatment of unlinked cases

The incidences of SA receipt shown above are based on the middle estimate—that is, refugee claimants who could not be linked to taxation-based administrative data were excluded from the calculations. One question this raises is how much the rates of SA receipt vary for specific groups when different methodologies are used to handle unlinked cases. This is documented in Table 6 which shows the incidence of SA receipt for the 2008 claim cohort in year  $t+1$  across selected characteristics using the lower-bound, middle, and upper-bound estimates as described in Section 2.

The incidence of SA receipt varies most across estimates for refugee claimants residing as single individuals. The lower-bound estimate of SA receipt among single men and women is 60% to 63%, but this jumps to 82% to 86% with the upper-bound estimate. This is a range of 22 percentage points across the three approaches. In contrast, the range in the estimates of SA incidence across other family types is 6 to 13 percentage points. This reflects the fact that refugee claimants residing as single individuals account for a relatively large share of unlinked observations.

The same results are evident across age of the older family member. Among refugee claimants residing in families in which the oldest member is between age 35 and 54 the estimated incidence of SA receipt ranges from 75% (lower-bound) to 85% to 86% (upper-bound)—a magnitude of about 10 percentage points. The range among families whose oldest member is between 25 and 34 years of age is 16 percentage points. In contrast, refugee claimants aged 19 to 24 are overrepresented among unlinked observations and the estimated incidence of SA receipt ranges from 62% to 87%—a range of 25 percentage points.

In short, the estimated incidences of SA receipt among refugee claimants residing in families—especially those in which the oldest member is older than age 35—are least sensitive to how unlinked observations are treated. The same can be said of refugee claimants residing in families in which at least one member holds a work permit, and among refugee claimants residing in Quebec, Ontario and Alberta.

Appendix Tables 2 and 3 provide estimates of SA receipt across the same characteristics shown in Table 4 above, but for the lower-bound and upper-bound estimates, respectively.

**Table 6**  
**Comparison of social assistance receipt in year  $t+1$  for the 2008 refugee claim cohort by selected family characteristics**

	Lower-bound estimate	Middle estimate	Upper-bound estimate	Range
	percent			percentage points
<b>Family type</b>				
Single male	59.7	76.9	82.0	22.3
Single female	63.4	81.5	85.6	22.2
Lone mother	81.1	91.3	92.3	11.2
Couple, no child	71.9	82.8	85.1	13.2
Couple, one child	78.1	85.4	86.6	8.5
Couple, two children	83.2	88.9	89.6	6.4
Couple, more than two children	85.1	90.2	90.7	5.6
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>				
0 to 18 years old	12.8	80.0	96.8	84.0
19 to 24 years old	61.7	82.3	86.7	25.0
25 to 34 years old	70.0	83.6	86.3	16.3
35 to 44 years old	75.0	83.9	85.6	10.6
45 to 54 years old	74.8	83.4	85.1	10.3
55 to 64 years old	70.1	82.8	85.5	15.4
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>				
Yes	75.7	83.7	85.2	9.5
No	12.4	84.2	97.7	85.3
<b>Province of residence</b>				
Quebec	75.0	87.9	89.6	14.6
Ontario	71.0	85.3	87.7	16.7
Alberta	52.2	58.5	63.0	10.8
British Columbia	53.4	70.2	77.3	23.9


**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

## Social assistance receipt by years since claim

This section examines the trend in SA rates by the number of years since the start of the claim. The focus here is once again on the middle estimate. The SA rates of refugee claimants fall quickly after year  $t+1$ . In Chart 8, the rates are shown for each refugee claimant cohort for up to four years following the beginning of the refugee claim. Between years  $t+1$  and  $t+2$ , SA rates fall by roughly 20 percentage points. The decline is also large between  $t+2$  and  $t+3$ , falling by a further 15 percentage points, on average. And between  $t+3$  and  $t+4$ , SA rates fall by about another 10 percentage points. Overall, between  $t+1$  and  $t+4$ , SA rates generally fall by more than about half—or by 45 to 50 percentage points. However, it is important to recall that these figures pertain to the diminishing group of refugee claimants whose claims remained open up to the year in question, as shown earlier in Table 2.


**Chart 8**  
**Social assistance rates 1 to 4 years since start of refugee claim, by selected refugee claim cohort**



**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Chart 9**  
**Social assistance rates 1 to 12 years since start of refugee claim, by selected refugee claim cohorts**

social assistance rate  
 (percent)



**Note:** Data from the Refugee Claimant Database were available up to 2011 at the time of the study.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

The first refugee claimant cohort—those who claimed in 1999—can be followed for 12 years, providing a much longer view of SA receipt. As suggested by Chart 9, the SA rate fell from 82% in year  $t+1$  to 26% by year  $t+6$ ; it subsequently remained between 21% and 26% through to year  $t+12$ . Similar results are obtained for the 2001 and 2003 claim cohorts, who can be followed for up to 8 and 10 years after the start of their claim, respectively. Note that, once again, these figures pertain to the group of refugee claimants whose claim remained open up to the year in question. Of all refugee claimants in the 2001 cohort, 9% were observed in  $t+8$  while less than 3% of claimants in the 1999 cohort were observed in  $t+12$ .

The steep decline in SA rates as the refugee claim becomes older may be related, at least in part, to differences in characteristics of individuals whose claim lasted for different lengths of time. To account for this possibility, an ordinary least squares regression of SA rate was estimated for the 1999 claim cohort, with all years since the start of the claim pooled in the same regression.<sup>13</sup> This regression accounts for differences in all of the family characteristics examined so far. In Table 7, the coefficients of interest are those associated with the variables denoting the number of years since the start of the claim. The declining SA rates are evident in these results. By year  $t+12$ , predicted SA rates are 51.6 percentage points lower than in year  $t+1$  after accounting for changes in the composition of refugee claimants over that period. This difference is only somewhat smaller than the unadjusted results shown in Chart 9 (56 percentage points). The results are similar for other cohorts.

While considerably lower than at the beginning of the refugee claim, SA receipt is still far more common among the refugee claimant population 12 years following their initial claim than among the broad population. To demonstrate this, the overall rate of annual SA receipt among

13. Similar results were found when marginal probability effects from logit and probit models were estimated.



the Canadian population was calculated with the LAD between 1999 and 2011.<sup>14</sup> Since 2003, the rate has been fairly steady at around 8%. At no point does the rate surpass 10%, and it is always less than a third of the rate of receipt among refugee claimants from the 1999 cohort, even 12 years after their initial claim (26%).<sup>15</sup>

---

14. The LAD is a 20% random sample of the T1FF, with records linked longitudinally. To minimize computation time, a 10% random sample of the LAD was used. The detailed results from this analysis are available upon request.

15. When all unlinked cases are assumed to not have received SA (i.e., the lower-bound estimate), their SA rate 12 years after their refugee claim is only slightly lower (22%).

**Table 7-1**  
**Ordinary least squares regression of social assistance receipt on family characteristics for the 1999 refugee claim cohort — Part 1**

	Regression results	
	coefficient	standard error
<b>Family type (reference: single male)</b>		
Single female	0.040 ***	0.008
Lone father	0.102 ***	0.017
Lone mother	0.194 ***	0.008
Couple, no child	0.036 **	0.012
Couple, one child	0.056 ***	0.011
Couple, two children	0.083 ***	0.012
Couple, more than two children	0.171 ***	0.013
Other family type	0.069 ***	0.016
<b>Age of oldest family member (reference: 0 to 18 years old)</b>		
19 to 24 years old	-0.004	0.025
25 to 34 years old	-0.042 †	0.025
35 to 44 years old	-0.038	0.025
45 to 54 years old	-0.040	0.025
55 to 64 years old	0.037	0.027
65 years old or above	0.222 ***	0.028
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>		
Yes	-0.096 ***	0.006
<b>Province or territory of residence (reference: Ontario)</b>		
Newfoundland and Labrador	-0.002	0.012
Prince Edward Island	x	x
Nova Scotia	-0.023	0.052
New Brunswick	-0.108 †	0.056
Quebec	0.121 ***	0.006
Manitoba	-0.072 *	0.035
Saskatchewan	0.004	0.025
Alberta	-0.159 ***	0.014
British Columbia	-0.066 ***	0.009
Yukon	-0.233 ***	0.059
Northwest Territories	-0.469 ***	0.055
Unknown	-0.276 ***	0.020
<b>Country of citizenship (reference: People's Republic of China)</b>		
Afghanistan	0.205 ***	0.018
Bangladesh	0.036	0.031
Colombia	0.002	0.019
Democratic Republic of Congo	0.190 ***	0.017
People's Republic of the Congo	0.166 ***	0.038
Cuba	0.052	0.043
Egypt	0.084 *	0.041
Eritrea	0.371 ***	0.030

\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.05$ )

\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.01$ )

\*\*\* significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.001$ )

† significantly different from reference category ( $p < 0.10$ )

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim. Number of observations: 68,699.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 7-2**  
**Ordinary least squares regression of social assistance receipt on family characteristics for the 1999 refugee claim cohort — Part 2**

	Regression results	
	coefficient	standard error
<b>Country of citizenship (reference: People's Republic of China)</b>		
<b>(continued)</b>		
Haiti	-0.169 ***	0.026
Hungary	0.197 ***	0.017
India	-0.085 ***	0.013
Iran	0.112 ***	0.018
Iraq	0.175 ***	0.025
Jamaica	-0.269 ***	0.037
Nigeria	0.005	0.016
Pakistan	0.076 ***	0.013
Slovak Republic	-0.149 †	0.087
Somalia	0.301 ***	0.018
Sri Lanka	0.063 ***	0.012
Syria	0.121 **	0.041
Other countries	0.023 *	0.010
<b>Years since claim (reference: 1)</b>		
2	-0.210 ***	0.004
3	-0.362 ***	0.005
4	-0.466 ***	0.006
5	-0.507 ***	0.007
6	-0.543 ***	0.008
7	-0.574 ***	0.009
8	-0.571 ***	0.010
9	-0.576 ***	0.013
10	-0.554 ***	0.014
11	-0.528 ***	0.017
12	-0.516 ***	0.019
Intercept	0.790 ***	0.026

\* significantly different from reference category (p<0.05)

\*\* significantly different from reference category (p<0.01)

\*\*\* significantly different from reference category (p<0.001)

† significantly different from reference category (p<0.10)

**Note:** t+1: one year since start of refugee claim. Number of observations: 68,699.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

### The share of total aggregate social assistance paid to refugee claimants

So far, only the incidence of SA receipt has been examined. However, it is worthwhile to consider a broader perspective, and assess how much of the total aggregate SA expenditures disbursed by federal, provincial and municipal government departments and agencies are paid to refugee claimants. Although refugee claimant families are far more likely than the broader Canadian population to receive SA income, it is important to keep in mind that refugee claimants only represent about one-third of 1% of the overall Canadian population.

In Table 8, the total SA amounts disbursed to refugee claimants and to all Canadians are shown. Note that all refugee claimants are included here, even those who made their claim prior to 1999. Overall, between \$10 billion to \$13 billion were disbursed every year to SA recipients,

based on the T5007 file.<sup>16</sup> Of that total, between \$202 million and \$338 million were disbursed to refugee claimants, accounting for 1.9% to 3.2% of the total, depending on the year.<sup>17</sup> This range of estimates is likely conservative, given the unlinked refugee claimants noted in the “Data and methodology” section (Section 2). Using the approach discussed in the sub-section “Defining social assistance and its incidence of receipt”<sup>18</sup> of this paper, the final two columns in Table 8 show the predicted value and predicted share of SA benefits paid to linked *and* unlinked refugee claimants. The predicted share of total aggregate SA benefits paid to refugee claimants rises to between 2.7% and 4.4% when this adjustment is made.

**Table 8**  
**Social assistance benefits paid to refugee claimants by year, 1999 to 2011**

Year	Total SA benefits (T5007 file)	Total SA benefits paid to RCs (T5007 file and T1FF)	Percentage of SA benefits paid to RCs (T5007 file and T1FF)	Predicted total SA benefits paid to RCs (T5007 file and T1FF, unlinked included)	Predicted percentage of SA paid to RCs (T5007 file and T1FF)
	2011 constant dollars	2011 constant dollars	percent	2011 constant dollars	percent
1999	12,720,599,370	236,875,624	1.9	355,145,983	2.8
2000	11,717,799,462	257,300,460	2.2	381,388,639	3.3
2001	11,111,833,301	302,774,927	2.7	432,057,737	3.9
2002	10,604,219,149	337,936,280	3.2	461,719,590	4.4
2003	10,251,014,042	323,237,824	3.2	442,453,451	4.3
2004	10,255,760,310	284,246,731	2.8	392,020,556	3.8
2005	10,268,147,571	240,181,185	2.3	331,527,714	3.2
2006	10,274,813,861	205,056,435	2.0	287,171,965	2.8
2007	10,422,332,544	201,605,857	1.9	283,756,988	2.7
2008	10,553,340,967	242,407,509	2.3	344,977,223	3.3
2009	11,624,450,454	324,633,550	2.8	443,310,191	3.8
2010	12,066,912,323	319,008,634	2.6	429,676,580	3.6
2011	12,192,859,489	290,680,087	2.4	397,842,359	3.3

**Note:** SA: social assistance; RCs: refugee claimants; T1FF: T1 Family File.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

Thus, while SA rates are much higher among the refugee claimant population, this group represents a very small fraction of the Canadian population, and less than 5% of total SA payments are disbursed to their families.

How important is SA income for refugee claimants? To answer this question, the mean total and SA income generated at the family level are shown in Table 9. Since total income is required for this exercise, only refugee claimants who could be located on the T1FF are included in this analysis. Also, results are weighted by the number of family members, so that larger families account for a larger share of the results. For comparative purposes, results are also shown for all families.

Among refugee claimant families that received SA in year  $t+1$ , their average total family income typically ranged from about \$19,000 to \$22,000 (Table 9), while SA benefits generally ranged, on average, from \$8,000 to \$11,000. Hence, SA accounted for about 40% to 48% of total family

16. The T5007 file provides the most comprehensive estimate of SA disbursements.

17. Many refugee claimants can only be found in the T1FF or in the T5007 file. For that reason, both files are used to trace their SA disbursements.

18. The first step consists of regressing SA benefits on the family characteristics used throughout the paper. This regression is performed at the family level, since there is no need to count people here (only dollars). Based on the assumption that the relationships between SA benefits received and the family characteristics are the same for linked and unlinked refugee claimants, the coefficients from this regression are then used to predict SA benefits for both linked and unlinked refugee claimants.

income in year  $t+1$ . Average total family incomes were slightly higher in subsequent years, generally ranging from \$21,000 to \$27,000 by  $t+4$  (again recalling that these figures pertain to the diminishing group of refugee claimants whose claims remained open). With average SA benefits at about \$9,000 and average total family income increasing over time, the share of total family income consisting of SA benefits declined to between 33% and 42% by  $t+4$ .

It was shown earlier in Chart 2 that the incidence of SA receipt was more frequent among refugee claimants who arrived in the latter portion of the 2000s compared to those who arrived in the middle of the decade, possibly because of the recession that started in late 2008. The results in Table 9 suggest a similar trend in average SA amounts received, conditional on receiving SA.

SA eligibility is based on the need for income support. Hence, it is not surprising that the average total family income of refugee claimant families receiving SA was considerably lower than those of refugee claimant families not receiving SA. This difference was about \$6,000 to \$10,000 in year  $t+1$ , and about \$10,000 to \$15,000 in years  $t+3$  and  $t+4$ .

Average SA benefits received by recipient refugee claimant families varied somewhat across socio-demographic characteristics (Table 10). As one would expect, average SA benefits were lowest in year  $t+1$  among single individuals and highest among couples with more than two children, and lowest among young families.

Perhaps consistent with expectations, average SA benefits were somewhat higher among refugee claimants residing in families in which no one held a work permit than among those residing in families in which a work permit was held. This difference was generally in the range of \$1,000 to \$3,000. Across provinces, average SA benefits were generally highest in Ontario.

Finally, there was considerable variation in average SA benefits across countries of citizenship. Among the 2009 claimant cohort, average benefits were highest among refugee claimants from the Slovak Republic, Hungary and Somalia, and lowest among those from Cuba, Haiti, and India.

**Table 9**

**Average total family income and social assistance income, by refugee claim cohort and years since start of claim, T1 Family File only**

Refugee claim cohort	1 year			2 years			3 years			4 years		
	Average total family income	Average SA income	Proportion of total income from SA	Average total family income	Average SA income	Proportion of total income from SA	Average total family income	Average SA income	Proportion of total income from SA	Average total family income	Average SA income	Proportion of total income from SA
	2011 constant dollars			2011 constant dollars			2011 constant dollars			2011 constant dollars		
		percent			percent			percent			percent	
1999	19,220	8,777	46	21,445	8,815	41	21,541	8,817	41	21,090	8,872	42
2000	19,244	8,955	47	20,688	8,836	43	21,662	8,833	41	23,246	9,240	40
2001	18,962	8,845	47	20,978	8,589	41	22,760	8,539	38	23,121	9,063	39
2002	19,117	8,514	45	21,693	8,344	38	22,583	8,448	37	23,932	8,613	36
2003	21,080	8,535	40	22,772	8,296	36	24,295	8,586	35	27,137	9,155	34
2004	19,793	8,807	44	22,856	8,511	37	24,041	8,478	35	26,449	8,401	32
2005	20,668	8,312	40	22,580	8,239	36	24,958	8,621	35	25,628	9,540	37
2006	20,526	8,256	40	22,566	8,280	37	23,036	9,129	40	24,440	9,015	37
2007	21,246	8,545	40	22,958	9,582	42	25,414	9,106	36	26,343	8,817	33
2008	21,026	10,011	48	24,302	9,692	40	24,954	9,187	37	..	..	..
2009	22,292	10,651	48	24,077	10,553	44	..	..	..	..	..	..
2010	22,125	9,713	44	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..

.. not available for a specific reference period

**Note:** SA: social assistance. Only refugee claimant families who received social assistance are examined in this table.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 10-1**

**Average social assistance income received in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristic and refugee claim cohort (T1FF and T5007 file) — Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort											Weighted average 2005 to 2010	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		2010
	2011 constant dollars												
<b>Family type</b>													
Single male	5,823	5,853	5,851	5,919	5,670	5,715	5,682	5,609	5,465	6,264	6,134	5,990	5,892
Single female	7,160	6,992	6,929	7,434	7,053	6,762	6,787	6,636	6,559	7,304	7,235	7,243	6,974
Lone father	14,536	14,106	11,921	12,262	11,971	11,858	9,821	11,069	10,014	13,393	17,329	12,984	13,095
Lone mother	14,156	13,602	12,928	12,086	11,831	12,099	11,798	11,137	10,838	13,763	14,374	14,248	12,776
Couple, no child	11,480	11,539	11,109	10,702	10,624	10,814	10,645	10,718	10,595	11,462	11,986	11,798	11,283
Couple, one child	12,217	12,378	12,150	10,965	10,981	11,697	11,190	10,683	10,765	12,564	13,893	13,619	12,351
Couple, two children	14,041	13,740	12,822	12,662	12,189	13,096	11,091	10,754	11,242	13,883	17,069	15,001	13,531
Couple, more than two children	17,034	16,991	16,054	15,361	13,699	14,012	13,616	12,442	13,941	17,500	23,973	21,663	18,148
Other family type	11,252	10,759	10,790	11,263	10,439	10,828	9,823	10,555	11,214	10,699	11,371	11,343	10,891
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>													
0 to 18 years old	5,749	7,120	6,288	6,445	6,787	6,013	6,138	6,501	7,041	6,614	7,057	6,731	6,679
19 to 24 years old	6,639	6,594	6,511	6,208	6,233	6,525	6,552	6,250	6,578	7,239	8,329	7,502	7,146
25 to 34 years old	8,236	8,340	8,285	7,708	7,710	7,709	7,530	7,259	7,620	9,583	10,982	9,530	8,927
35 to 44 years old	11,400	11,108	10,676	9,873	9,994	10,421	9,469	9,263	9,585	11,913	13,379	12,034	11,165
45 to 54 years old	11,389	11,164	10,786	10,485	10,690	11,052	10,104	9,354	9,700	11,344	12,627	11,590	10,933
55 to 64 years old	10,513	9,700	10,281	10,237	10,690	10,412	9,798	8,769	9,541	11,346	11,231	10,119	10,327
65 years old or above	12,254	12,712	12,531	13,553	12,930	12,865	13,033	12,839	14,049	12,955	14,178	13,266	13,422
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>													
Yes	9,143	9,124	8,881	8,568	8,966	9,252	8,559	8,243	8,740	10,577	11,808	10,587	9,942
No	11,546	11,025	10,903	10,174	9,893	10,646	11,385	11,507	11,491	12,877	14,592	11,828	12,276
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>													
Newfoundland and Labrador	13,553	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	x	4,533	7,518	4,936	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	7,453
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	5,474
Quebec	7,592	8,056	7,241	7,469	7,726	7,008	7,127	6,971	6,406	6,849	6,794	6,601	6,768
Ontario	10,501	10,227	10,329	9,627	9,711	10,313	9,472	9,289	10,731	13,539	14,634	12,241	12,034
Manitoba	8,352	10,323	8,189	9,956	6,396	7,715	7,619	x	x	5,724	6,118	6,585	6,437
Saskatchewan	8,916	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	8,135	7,363	7,795	7,355

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:** Only refugee claimant families who received social assistance are examined in this table.  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim; T1FF: T1 Family File.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 10-2**

**Average social assistance income received in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristic and refugee claim cohort (T1FF and T5007 file) — Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort											Weighted average 2005 to 2010	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		2010
	2011 constant dollars												
<b>Province or territory of residence (continued)</b>													
Alberta	6,336	6,430	7,029	7,256	6,971	7,824	6,352	5,349	5,866	8,242	5,459	5,353	6,305
British Columbia	9,169	9,634	8,392	7,249	6,983	7,684	7,221	6,590	6,142	8,153	8,168	7,549	7,565
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	13,227
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Unknown	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	10,748
<b>Country of citizenship</b>													
Afghanistan	14,488	14,024	13,979	13,341	12,728	13,363	13,588	12,464	15,273	14,239	15,314	16,002	14,641
Bangladesh	7,418	7,853	8,162	8,179	8,789	8,540	8,987	8,480	8,544	11,245	11,364	9,828	9,707
People's Republic of China	6,803	6,578	7,905	7,719	8,432	8,371	8,333	8,417	8,721	9,120	9,670	9,127	8,899
Colombia	10,132	10,859	10,940	10,497	10,502	11,547	10,168	10,047	11,184	13,090	12,318	11,803	11,759
Democratic Republic of Congo	9,944	9,078	9,125	7,740	8,018	8,376	7,909	8,333	7,327	8,387	9,081	7,028	8,080
People's Republic of the Congo	8,567	8,556	6,805	8,126	x	x	x	x	x	6,366	x	x	6,100
Cuba	6,162	6,930	6,899	6,490	6,627	8,732	5,446	6,300	6,331	7,036	6,864	6,708	6,516
Egypt	12,108	9,099	10,563	10,628	7,513	9,234	9,344	x	10,952	x	x	9,578	9,614
Eritrea	11,639	11,395	13,690	9,983	8,415	9,659	10,275	8,002	7,759	11,954	13,002	10,697	10,545
Haiti	5,081	6,102	4,277	5,406	6,149	5,344	5,905	6,687	6,317	7,630	7,016	7,343	7,004
Hungary	13,312	12,134	10,407	5,512	x	x	x	x	x	14,958	21,793	18,536	19,919
India	6,070	6,184	6,042	6,142	6,519	6,416	6,530	6,907	6,917	7,844	7,205	7,014	7,027
Iran	10,220	9,642	8,637	7,308	8,441	8,090	8,675	6,419	7,311	9,730	9,276	9,337	8,649
Iraq	11,807	10,247	12,075	12,105	7,141	10,749	10,969	10,258	11,201	13,116	12,446	11,850	11,784
Jamaica	x	x	6,659	x	5,475	7,407	9,871	x	8,735	10,359	7,638	7,989	8,524
Nigeria	7,892	6,697	7,104	7,439	7,158	7,879	7,794	8,060	10,469	14,274	12,870	12,232	11,246
Pakistan	9,787	9,724	9,980	9,847	10,266	10,320	9,814	9,682	8,107	11,113	11,723	10,223	10,095
Slovak Republic	x	12,696	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	23,001	24,633	22,245	23,354
Somalia	13,428	12,835	13,140	11,991	11,066	11,607	11,671	11,056	16,652	23,753	17,687	14,043	16,991
Sri Lanka	9,165	9,099	8,940	8,596	7,464	8,101	7,869	8,653	8,487	9,167	9,070	7,293	8,365
Syria	13,528	9,622	11,034	8,592	8,949	7,525	8,001	x	8,408	x	9,561	9,240	8,835
Other countries	9,571	9,579	9,022	8,536	9,000	8,793	8,552	8,100	8,721	10,565	10,889	9,330	9,569

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:** Only refugee claimant families who received social assistance are examined in this table.  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim; T1FF: T1 Family File.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.



## 4 The individual characteristics of refugee claimants and social assistance receipt

All measurements in this paper have been conducted at the family level. This is sensible since SA eligibility is determined at the family level. However, there may be some interest in detailing the individual characteristics of refugee claimants, and how these are correlated with SA receipt. For the most part, however, the results are largely in line with the family level analysis.

Table 11 shows the individual characteristics of refugee claimants in year  $t+1$ . Refugee claimants are somewhat more likely to be male than female (between 54% and 61% are male). About one-fifth to one-quarter of refugee claimants are under 19 years of age, while about 5% are aged 55 or older. Work permits were granted to roughly between 70% and 80% of refugee claimants over the age of 19.

Concerning the receipt of SA (Table 12), female refugee claimants were slightly more likely to do so than men—with a difference of about 3 to 5 percentage points. This is consistent with the findings from Tables 4 and 5 suggesting that single female and lone mothers were slightly more likely to receive SA than their male counterparts.

In most years, 80% to 90% of refugee claimants under age 19 were recipients of SA—either as principal claimants of SA or through familial ties, while this was generally the case for 75% to 80% of those aged 25 to 54.

**Table 11**  
**Individual characteristics of sample in year  $t+1$  by refugee claim cohort**

	Refugee claim cohort												
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Sex</b>													
Male	60.6	58.8	57.4	57.0	56.7	55.5	54.5	54.3	53.8	54.5	54.9	55.9	
Female	39.4	41.2	42.6	43.0	43.3	44.5	45.5	45.7	46.2	45.5	45.1	44.1	
<b>Age</b>													
0 to 18 years old	19.7	19.9	20.1	17.4	20.7	20.8	19.8	20.0	24.4	24.4	23.7	21.2	
19 to 24 years old	13.3	13.2	14.4	14.3	13.0	12.3	12.7	12.1	10.6	10.6	11.4	11.4	
25 to 34 years old	34.7	33.3	33.4	33.2	31.2	30.6	32.1	31.1	28.4	27.9	28.5	29.3	
35 to 44 years old	19.8	20.5	19.3	21.1	21.3	22.1	20.4	21.1	21.9	22.0	21.1	21.9	
45 to 54 years old	7.6	8.2	8.1	9.4	9.6	9.9	9.9	10.1	9.9	10.2	10.1	9.9	
55 to 64 years old	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.1	3.4	3.4	4.0	
65 years old or above	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.5	1.7	2.2	
<b>Work permit</b>													
Yes	69.5	68.0	68.5	74.4	77.5	80.4	80.6	80.4	76.9	77.0	78.2	79.8	
No	30.5	32.0	31.5	25.6	22.5	19.6	19.4	19.6	23.1	23.0	21.8	20.2	

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Table 12**  
**Social assistance rates in year  $t+1$  by individual characteristics and refugee claim cohort**

	Refugee claim cohort											
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
	percent											
<b>Sex</b>												
Male	80.6	80.8	81.4	75.9	71.2	76.6	76.2	77.6	81.6	81.6	80.9	76.5
Female	83.5	82.7	83.3	78.5	73.5	79.8	79.5	82.2	86.7	86.1	85.5	82.5
<b>Age</b>												
0 to 18 years old	88.2	88.6	87.9	83.1	79.0	85.0	84.7	83.9	91.0	89.8	89.5	86.5
19 to 24 years old	80.2	79.6	81.4	74.9	68.5	72.7	73.4	77.0	78.9	81.3	81.4	77.6
25 to 34 years old	78.5	78.8	79.8	74.0	68.2	74.4	74.8	78.0	79.9	81.4	79.2	74.2
35 to 44 years old	79.8	78.9	80.1	75.5	71.7	77.7	77.3	78.2	83.3	81.1	79.6	77.5
45 to 54 years old	79.4	79.4	79.3	77.7	72.8	78.0	74.9	79.8	82.9	81.8	82.7	77.6
55 to 64 years old	90.3	87.8	88.6	85.0	76.5	85.4	81.8	83.4	86.2	84.0	88.5	85.0
65 years old or above	97.3	97.3	96.1	91.8	90.7	93.5	94.0	89.3	94.2	92.2	95.1	94.3
<b>Work permit</b>												
Yes	78.2	77.9	79.1	74.0	69.9	76.3	75.9	78.6	81.7	81.6	80.9	77.0
No	89.7	89.4	89.1	85.6	80.2	85.3	85.1	84.4	91.7	90.7	90.5	87.4

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

### Average social assistance benefit per refugee claimant

Finally, the average SA benefit paid to all individuals in the refugee claimant cohorts from 2005 to 2010, including non-beneficiaries of SA, was approximately \$4,200 in  $t+1$ . The average SA benefit per individual in  $t+1$  was somewhat lower among those in the 2005, 2006 and 2007 cohorts, at approximately \$3,900, and somewhat higher among those in the 2008, 2009 and 2010 cohorts, at approximately \$4,400 to \$4,600.

## 5 Conclusion

Refugee claimants are an important part of the non-permanent resident population in Canada. They are also, by and large, a group characterized by vulnerability in terms of their recent circumstances and experiences, their weak ties to the Canadian workforce, and other factors. Financially, this vulnerability is evident in the relatively high rates of SA use. This report has drawn on newly linked administrative data files to paint a first picture of SA receipt among this population.

The study has been successful in linking approximately three-quarters of all refugee claimants to administrative files containing information on the annual receipt of SA. Of these linked claimants, between 65% and 85% rely on SA shortly after opening their refugee claim. Among those whose claims were still open after four years, some 25% to 40% were collecting SA. This figure is well above the Canadian average of about 8%.

The variation in SA rates tends to be strongest across two dimensions: province of residence and country of citizenship. Some differences exist across family type, age of oldest family member, and presence of a work permit within the family, but they are not nearly as pronounced. Between 85% and 90% of refugee claimants under the age of 19 are in a family that receives SA shortly after making a refugee claim.

Overall, between \$10 billion and \$13 billion were disbursed every year to all SA recipients in Canada. Given their relatively small size as a group, the dollar amount of SA paid to refugee claimant families amounted to between 1.9% and 4.4% of that total, depending on the year and on the treatment of unlinked cases.

Further research could examine the duration of SA spells among refugee claimants. In particular, which types of refugee claimant families tend to remain on SA for longer time periods? From a data-quality point of view, it would also be useful to be able to identify abandoned refugee claims (i.e., the claims of refugee claimants who have left the country, but whose cases have not yet been closed). In principle, the individuals involved in such cases are out of scope, but current data limitations preclude the possibility of dropping these cases from the analysis.

## 6 Appendix Tables

**Appendix Table 1-1**  
**Sample size by family characteristics in year  $t+1$  by refugee claim cohort — Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort												
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	number of observations												
<b>Family type</b>													
Single male	8,963	11,164	12,969	9,865	8,224	6,541	5,181	6,143	7,073	9,069	7,618	5,964	
Single female	3,554	4,963	6,280	5,511	4,366	3,639	3,106	3,752	4,043	4,825	4,094	3,194	
Lone father	543	592	703	349	373	350	212	274	468	549	574	305	
Lone mother	3,294	4,186	5,092	2,762	2,709	2,549	2,210	2,716	4,362	5,211	3,719	2,651	
Couple, no child	1,165	1,634	2,206	1,248	1,516	1,303	978	1,164	1,314	1,888	1,676	1,117	
Couple, one child	1,319	1,520	2,211	1,627	1,818	1,667	946	1,151	1,684	2,460	2,229	1,279	
Couple, two children	1,267	1,879	2,449	1,826	2,513	2,272	1,360	1,452	2,328	3,066	2,549	1,486	
Couple, more than two children	1,032	1,462	1,838	1,229	1,756	962	643	864	1,303	2,032	1,869	958	
Other family type	285	305	440	242	269	274	154	145	214	254	260	134	
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>													
0 to 18 years old	191	253	270	250	149	102	101	103	90	105	103	70	
19 to 24 years old	2,598	3,329	4,448	3,238	2,567	2,052	1,647	1,913	2,126	2,645	2,406	1,755	
25 to 34 years old	8,422	10,518	13,076	9,005	8,112	6,600	5,452	6,218	7,587	9,743	8,442	5,697	
35 to 44 years old	6,470	8,625	10,212	7,415	7,644	6,571	4,488	5,593	8,022	10,389	8,177	5,677	
45 to 54 years old	2,495	3,410	4,184	3,374	3,686	3,143	2,193	2,619	3,607	4,655	3,816	2,613	
55 to 64 years old	683	956	1,177	853	1,002	769	583	762	884	1,288	1,139	837	
65 years old or above	563	614	821	524	384	320	326	453	473	529	505	439	
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>													
Yes	18,104	22,879	28,585	21,678	22,447	19,205	14,424	17,266	22,425	28,905	24,269	16,779	
No	3,318	4,826	5,603	2,981	1,097	352	366	395	364	449	319	309	
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>													
Newfoundland and Labrador	1,320	x	x	30	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Nova Scotia	34	52	69	46	44	x	31	x	x	30	x	33	
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Quebec	6,377	7,429	9,147	6,834	5,174	4,661	3,607	5,298	7,809	10,211	6,615	3,451	
Ontario	11,279	17,705	22,074	15,977	16,549	13,415	9,749	10,637	12,509	15,748	15,121	11,447	
Manitoba	120	102	139	130	142	94	69	47	55	122	96	88	
Saskatchewan	85	45	x	x	x	x	x	x	32	73	81	79	
Alberta	468	549	794	579	574	623	690	864	1,446	1,599	1,188	994	
British Columbia	1,639	1,721	1,836	946	907	658	603	707	845	1,493	1,397	935	
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Unknown	80	68	67	81	71	51	x	42	40	40	38	30	
<b>Country of citizenship</b>													
Afghanistan	468	405	341	61	109	109	181	207	247	373	324	299	
Bangladesh	238	265	333	287	601	255	153	94	62	74	92	103	
People's Republic of China	1,298	1,521	2,106	1,492	1,224	1,339	1,281	1,286	1,172	1,247	1,231	1,321	

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Appendix Table 1-2**  
**Sample size by family characteristics in year  $t+1$  by refugee claim cohort — Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort												
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	number of observations												
<b>Country of citizenship (continued)</b>													
Colombia	535	1,100	1,505	2,302	1,856	3,436	968	1,163	2,323	2,728	1,906	1,086	
Democratic Republic of Congo	765	793	1,062	463	344	321	255	377	291	390	254	235	
People's Republic of the Congo	79	58	91	48	30	x	x	x	30	49	x	x	
Cuba	170	185	193	140	120	114	105	146	128	145	171	109	
Egypt	65	84	125	73	187	130	60	36	37	38	33	95	
Eritrea	95	88	113	60	98	143	128	124	115	186	167	118	
Haiti	192	246	194	198	168	152	326	664	3,119	4,085	1,333	850	
Hungary	780	1,476	2,393	165	37	x	x	x	x	184	1,621	1,392	
India	1,025	944	927	727	765	766	538	532	407	430	384	414	
Iran	665	638	561	199	242	266	265	186	148	230	235	263	
Iraq	276	250	346	172	74	65	82	140	222	216	157	101	
Jamaica	86	71	121	148	185	135	101	103	94	184	213	209	
Nigeria	434	707	586	543	544	481	494	575	664	658	668	737	
Pakistan	1,861	2,535	2,650	2,817	3,188	707	497	462	270	303	321	429	
Slovak Republic	x	51	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	37	224	150	
Somalia	342	613	557	249	241	300	184	151	165	341	346	257	
Sri Lanka	2,147	2,371	2,461	1,338	1,093	979	705	736	685	886	723	1,058	
Syria	77	56	95	72	93	55	43	x	53	46	74	111	
Other countries	9,807	13,248	17,418	13,092	12,318	9,747	8,387	10,613	12,537	16,524	14,088	7,729	

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Appendix Table 2-1**  
**Lower-bound estimates of social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristics and refugee claim cohort — Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort											Weighted average 2005 to 2010	
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		2010
	percent												
<b>Family type</b>													
Single male	53.7	56.8	59.1	52.3	46.8	52.1	52.8	58.0	59.3	59.7	55.9	54.0	56.9
Single female	54.0	56.0	60.8	54.2	48.4	54.9	56.2	62.8	63.7	63.4	60.8	57.1	61.0
Lone father	70.1	74.0	72.1	63.7	56.7	68.6	62.3	68.4	78.6	75.9	71.9	64.3	71.8
Lone mother	74.9	76.6	76.8	71.5	66.7	73.2	74.0	74.6	84.2	81.1	78.1	75.5	78.8
Couple, no child	71.1	69.3	70.4	60.1	57.7	65.9	62.2	62.7	69.1	71.9	68.9	64.5	67.3
Couple, one child	73.5	75.3	74.0	69.7	63.3	76.0	73.1	74.1	83.8	78.1	77.7	75.1	77.6
Couple, two children	74.1	74.2	78.3	76.8	70.5	78.3	76.2	74.8	84.2	83.2	77.9	76.7	79.7
Couple, more than two children	85.1	86.8	84.7	82.8	75.6	85.8	85.2	77.0	86.6	85.1	81.8	77.5	82.6
Other family type	55.5	54.5	58.9	52.9	53.4	59.4	60.4	52.7	62.7	58.9	60.3	43.7	57.2
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>													
0 to 18 years old	18.6	17.3	19.2	8.6	9.4	13.0	15.6	14.5	12.7	12.8	12.5	8.6	12.6
19 to 24 years old	50.7	57.7	61.2	54.6	46.7	50.6	52.2	58.4	60.8	61.7	59.3	57.0	58.6
25 to 34 years old	61.0	63.7	65.7	59.9	53.9	60.0	62.0	65.7	69.4	70.0	66.6	61.8	66.5
35 to 44 years old	66.9	68.5	71.0	65.8	61.4	69.5	67.1	68.9	77.5	75.0	70.7	69.2	72.1
45 to 54 years old	66.8	66.5	68.2	67.3	62.4	68.9	65.0	70.6	75.4	74.8	72.0	65.7	71.4
55 to 64 years old	70.8	70.8	70.2	67.6	60.7	68.8	64.3	65.4	72.4	70.1	71.8	69.0	69.3
65 years old or above	76.5	73.8	79.8	68.4	57.3	56.7	59.2	54.3	60.4	57.9	60.4	57.1	58.2
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>													
Yes	71.2	71.0	72.9	66.4	62.1	69.0	68.2	71.0	76.3	75.7	71.6	68.2	72.4
No	36.7	44.4	46.3	34.3	18.0	9.7	12.7	13.7	13.0	12.4	11.3	12.4	12.6
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>													
Newfoundland and Labrador	85.8	46.9	x	83.9	80.0	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	23.1
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	43.5	41.2	53.0	44.7	38.8	-1.0	50.0	50.0	28.6	42.1	-1.0	47.9	44.0
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	45.3
Quebec	66.8	72.4	75.9	71.6	70.1	74.7	72.8	73.4	76.4	75.0	71.4	67.1	73.5
Ontario	61.0	62.7	64.3	55.9	52.4	61.1	59.7	64.3	71.1	71.0	68.8	66.1	67.3
Manitoba	56.8	56.2	58.9	53.8	50.3	44.4	43.2	32.9	32.9	50.3	58.1	49.6	46.6
Saskatchewan	79.2	52.7	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	35.1	60.2	56.8	60.7	54.5
Alberta	37.9	38.1	46.1	46.4	42.7	47.4	55.9	47.1	62.5	52.2	45.0	40.2	50.9
British Columbia	41.2	49.9	52.9	38.4	39.2	32.7	40.9	40.1	40.9	53.4	47.4	38.6	44.9
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	82.6
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Unknown	30.0	11.8	25.4	23.2	18.9	23.5	x	7.0	24.4	9.3	12.8	13.3	13.4
<b>Country of citizenship</b>													
Afghanistan	81.1	80.2	76.5	64.4	85.1	70.5	70.8	72.7	78.8	77.9	74.0	71.5	74.5
Bangladesh	66.9	69.1	72.7	69.0	67.5	69.1	60.8	58.6	50.0	64.0	58.3	57.5	58.7
People's Republic of China	36.9	57.3	67.7	62.0	57.4	54.5	66.5	77.0	77.2	75.5	75.5	78.4	74.9
Colombia	66.1	73.1	82.5	81.0	82.1	87.7	81.7	79.8	87.4	86.0	85.7	80.0	84.5
Democratic Republic of Congo	85.1	80.6	81.4	75.5	75.5	76.9	68.7	80.3	72.9	82.4	80.1	68.8	76.2

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Appendix Table 2-2**  
**Lower-bound estimates of social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristics and refugee claim cohort — Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort												Weighted average 2005 to 2010
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Country of Citizenship (continued)</b>													
People's Republic of the Congo	79.5	65.9	83.7	76.7	61.1	x	x	x	72.7	78.2	x	x	74.4
Cuba	60.7	62.1	66.5	62.3	47.4	49.4	57.0	60.2	57.8	69.1	56.7	58.3	59.8
Egypt	74.0	63.5	74.5	66.3	63.8	61.5	57.9	54.3	73.3	45.7	74.4	71.4	63.6
Eritrea	91.1	85.1	83.8	64.1	76.2	85.4	79.9	73.0	67.5	81.1	70.9	75.9	74.8
Haiti	45.1	50.6	73.4	57.9	59.8	62.9	72.9	70.6	81.3	76.8	63.3	61.3	74.5
Hungary	62.2	61.4	56.2	28.9	24.5	26.3	x	x	x	62.2	67.9	65.7	66.1
India	58.4	51.2	50.7	46.9	51.3	49.2	46.5	48.6	53.5	47.2	56.7	52.2	50.2
Iran	74.4	73.0	73.5	54.7	60.6	63.9	69.0	62.6	66.5	72.2	67.5	68.0	67.9
Iraq	74.3	72.1	76.6	64.3	67.0	59.5	60.2	63.3	64.9	60.5	67.3	60.4	63.1
Jamaica	15.4	10.3	14.9	9.5	17.9	19.9	20.3	20.1	32.5	33.1	36.8	31.1	30.2
Nigeria	67.7	68.1	69.4	70.1	73.9	71.6	69.5	72.8	78.4	77.8	80.4	78.4	76.6
Pakistan	64.9	69.2	76.5	73.6	56.9	56.6	62.1	60.8	59.2	59.1	57.8	62.7	60.6
Slovak Republic	x	54.7	x	x	21.6	x	x	x	x	55.4	67.5	63.6	64.0
Somalia	64.7	73.5	75.7	71.6	67.4	64.4	63.9	62.7	54.9	66.2	63.4	54.9	61.4
Sri Lanka	78.6	79.3	80.3	72.7	69.8	72.3	69.9	71.6	73.1	74.4	77.4	76.0	73.9
Syria	76.1	60.6	64.3	60.7	58.4	54.8	58.8	46.9	49.3	36.7	77.2	78.3	62.3
Other countries	58.9	59.5	62.3	51.9	48.9	56.3	58.1	62.2	66.7	67.0	63.7	55.8	63.2

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

**Appendix Table 3-1**  
**Upper-bound estimates of social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristics and refugee claim cohort — Part 1**

	Refugee claim cohort												Weighted average 2005 to 2010
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Family type</b>													
Single male	84.7	84.1	84.2	81.3	77.8	79.4	80.0	81.1	81.6	82.0	81.7	79.0	81.0
Single female	86.1	83.8	84.9	83.0	78.1	81.7	82.4	85.5	85.7	85.6	85.5	84.9	85.0
Lone father	88.4	91.7	87.8	79.8	72.1	84.7	85.5	85.8	90.8	89.1	90.8	75.9	87.5
Lone mother	90.9	91.6	90.8	87.0	85.8	88.3	86.6	87.3	93.5	92.3	90.9	90.3	90.8
Couple, no child	86.5	81.9	83.8	77.3	75.3	83.4	78.5	80.9	83.8	85.1	85.6	81.0	83.0
Couple, one child	84.7	86.3	83.6	80.4	75.8	83.5	82.3	82.7	89.8	86.6	89.2	85.6	86.7
Couple, two children	85.4	84.4	86.4	82.9	79.0	85.5	84.2	82.0	89.2	89.6	89.5	84.6	87.4
Couple, more than two children	93.2	93.0	91.5	89.4	83.2	88.5	92.7	87.7	90.2	90.7	93.0	91.2	91.1
Other family type	82.6	83.4	82.7	74.8	73.1	74.6	80.2	80.6	82.8	82.2	84.5	81.4	82.3
<b>Age of oldest family member</b>													
0 to 18 years old	96.5	95.1	95.4	96.2	96.2	95.6	94.6	94.0	96.6	96.8	96.6	96.8	96.0
19 to 24 years old	87.9	86.5	87.4	82.7	80.2	82.1	82.5	84.1	85.6	86.7	87.6	85.2	85.5
25 to 34 years old	84.3	84.7	84.8	80.1	76.8	80.6	80.9	82.9	85.0	86.3	85.3	80.7	84.0
35 to 44 years old	86.3	85.1	85.1	81.0	77.6	82.7	82.8	82.3	87.3	85.6	84.9	83.3	84.7
45 to 54 years old	83.9	83.3	83.1	81.7	78.5	81.3	78.9	82.1	84.8	85.1	86.1	81.5	83.6
55 to 64 years old	92.3	89.0	88.9	86.6	78.3	87.3	85.7	86.5	89.0	85.5	89.4	87.7	87.3
65 years old or above	97.8	97.3	96.7	92.8	93.0	96.0	96.1	92.3	95.9	95.0	96.9	95.8	95.3
<b>Work permit held by family member</b>													
Yes	82.1	81.8	82.6	78.6	75.6	80.6	80.4	81.9	85.4	85.2	85.3	81.9	83.8
No	96.6	95.5	94.9	94.9	95.5	97.1	96.7	96.7	97.6	97.7	98.1	97.7	97.4
<b>Province or territory of residence</b>													
Newfoundland and Labrador	86.0	71.9	x	87.1	93.3	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	59.6
Prince Edward Island	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Nova Scotia	88.7	76.2	84.0	84.2	73.1	x	72.5	79.4	60.0	63.2	x	79.2	70.2
New Brunswick	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	69.8
Quebec	92.3	92.0	92.8	92.7	91.2	90.6	89.8	90.8	90.6	89.6	87.1	82.6	88.9
Ontario	84.0	84.4	84.0	79.0	75.9	81.6	81.7	83.6	87.7	87.7	89.3	87.4	86.6
Manitoba	75.7	76.6	76.2	72.5	70.9	69.8	70.5	65.7	57.5	68.5	80.6	71.7	70.2
Saskatchewan	95.0	70.9	x	x	x	x	x	x	48.6	72.3	64.8	71.9	66.1
Alberta	60.5	55.8	64.2	63.5	58.5	61.1	65.0	55.4	69.2	63.0	57.8	56.6	61.6
British Columbia	87.7	84.0	84.5	82.8	78.8	74.0	74.8	66.1	68.1	77.3	77.3	71.0	73.4
Yukon	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	93.5
Northwest Territories	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Unknown	30.0	11.8	25.4	24.4	23.0	23.5	x	9.3	26.8	16.3	31.9	46.7	25.5
<b>Country of citizenship</b>													
Afghanistan	92.0	91.9	96.2	94.3	95.0	86.0	93.1	90.9	91.5	90.9	91.6	93.2	91.8
Bangladesh	84.8	87.3	83.4	79.0	77.1	84.4	68.7	73.9	66.2	80.9	68.9	71.7	71.5
People's Republic of China	89.9	87.3	88.8	88.9	86.5	82.1	87.8	90.7	89.2	90.4	89.6	91.4	89.8
Colombia	78.1	82.2	88.9	88.7	88.5	91.9	89.2	87.9	92.3	90.6	93.4	90.3	91.0
Democratic Republic of Congo	94.4	94.3	93.5	93.3	91.2	90.9	83.7	88.8	85.2	88.4	91.3	85.5	87.3

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.



**Appendix Table 3-2**  
**Upper-bound estimates of social assistance rates in year  $t+1$ , by family characteristics and refugee claim cohort — Part 2**

	Refugee claim cohort												Weighted average 2005 to 2010
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	
	percent												
<b>Country of citizenship (continued)</b>													
People's Republic of the Congo	89.8	95.1	96.2	96.7	77.8	x	x	x	81.8	89.1	x	x	85.0
Cuba	78.2	85.0	80.4	78.4	69.5	76.3	79.3	81.7	83.8	86.3	84.9	79.9	83.0
Egypt	89.6	90.4	83.2	78.3	79.2	80.7	78.9	76.1	91.1	63.0	89.7	81.0	79.8
Eritrea	97.0	98.0	96.9	87.2	82.9	94.9	91.0	91.4	92.9	93.4	86.9	89.8	90.9
Haiti	80.0	76.5	82.7	73.0	70.9	71.9	80.8	76.3	84.5	80.4	67.6	72.1	79.0
Hungary	93.4	91.8	89.6	74.1	54.7	68.4	x	x	x	95.3	98.2	97.4	97.1
India	82.9	84.9	85.4	82.3	81.9	75.9	77.2	77.4	76.0	68.2	74.2	70.7	74.4
Iran	89.1	88.5	88.8	85.4	79.1	83.3	85.9	81.7	85.2	84.8	85.3	86.3	85.0
Iraq	91.9	85.9	93.3	91.1	90.7	77.2	80.6	84.2	82.1	83.6	90.7	85.1	84.5
Jamaica	55.2	49.6	54.7	48.6	48.9	51.0	52.0	48.6	54.2	56.1	54.3	53.7	53.5
Nigeria	87.2	86.5	88.9	87.7	88.4	84.2	83.2	85.8	88.2	89.1	90.1	89.1	87.8
Pakistan	87.7	88.8	90.9	90.9	74.2	74.2	79.4	81.2	78.9	77.7	78.5	77.3	79.0
Slovak Republic	x	75.0	x	x	48.6	x	x	x	x	89.3	98.1	95.5	95.3
Somalia	96.8	97.4	97.5	98.8	95.2	89.8	90.9	90.4	85.2	94.8	94.6	93.8	92.6
Sri Lanka	86.3	87.1	88.0	84.2	80.7	83.5	84.8	83.6	84.3	84.5	87.7	87.9	85.6
Syria	88.6	81.7	79.5	75.0	76.1	79.5	74.5	68.8	70.1	60.0	83.5	85.8	76.3
Other countries	84.5	83.1	82.5	78.8	77.2	81.0	81.4	83.1	86.8	87.3	85.3	79.3	84.5

x suppressed to meet the confidentiality requirements of the *Statistics Act*

**Note:**  $t+1$ : one year since start of refugee claim.

**Source:** Statistics Canada, Refugee Claimant Database.

## References

Baker, M., and D. Benjamin. 1995. "The Receipt of Transfer Payments by Immigrants to Canada." *Journal of Human Resources* 30 (4): 650–676.

Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC). 2013. "Facts and Figures 2013 – Immigration overview: Permanent and temporary residents." *Facts and Figures*. Last updated June 15, 2015. Available at: <http://www.cic.gc.ca/english/resources/statistics/menu-fact.asp>

Crossley, T.F., J.T. McDonald, and C. Worswick. 2001. "Immigrant Benefit Receipt Revisited: Sensitivity of the Choice of Survey Years and Model Specification." *Journal of Human Resources* 36 (2): 379–397.

Ostrovsky, Y. 2012. "The Dynamics of Immigrant Participation in Entitlement Programs: Evidence from Canada." *Canadian Journal of Economics* 45 (1): 107–136.

Schellenberg, G. 2001. *Inland Determination Refugees Before and After Landing*. IMDB Research Paper Series. Ottawa: Citizenship and Immigration Canada.